IMPROVEMENT AND REFINEMENT OF EXISTING GHOLESTEROL TOLERANGE TEST

THESIS FOR DOCTOR OF MEDICINE

(MEDICINE)





BUNDELKHAND UNIVERSIT JHANSI (U. P.)



1990

NIRBHAI KUMAR

This is to certify that the work entitled "IMPROVEMENT AND REFINEMENT OF EXISTING CHOLESTEROL TOLERANCE TEST" which is being submitted as a thesis for N.D. (Nedicine) exemination, 1990 of Bundelkhand University by Dr. Wirbhei Rumer, has been certied out in the department of Medicine, M.L.B. Medical College, Jhansi.

He has put in the necessary stay in the department as per University regulations.

Dated: 15.9. 1989.

(R. C. Arore)
M.D., D.Sc.,
Professor and Head,
Department of Medicine,
M.L.B. Medical College,
Jhansi.

This is to cartify that the work entitled "IMPROVEMENT AND REPIMEMENT OF EXISTING CHOLESTERGE, TOLERANCE ISST, which is being submitted as a thesis for N.D. (Medicine) examination, 1990 of Dundelkhand University by Dr. Nizbhai Rumar, has been carried out under my direct supervision and guidance. The techniques and statistical methods used were undertaken by the candidate himself and were checked by me from time to time.

Dated: 15.9 . 1989.

(A. C. Arere)
M.D., D.Se.,
Professor and Head,
Department of Hedicine,
M.L.B. Hedical College,
Jhansi.

(GUIDE)

This is to certify that the work entitled "IMPROVEMENT AND REFINEMENT OF EXISTING CHOLESTEROL TOLERANCE TEST" has been certied out by Dr. Nirbhei Kumar under my direct supervision and guidance. The techniques and statistical methods used in this thesis have been undertaken by the candidate himself and checked by me from time to time.

Dated: 15:9. .1989.

The state of the s

| Nevert Ageres

Lecturer in Medicine, M.L.B. Medical College, Joursi.

(CO-CUIDE)

This is to certify that the work entitled "IMPROVEMENT AND REFINEMENT OF EXISTING CHOLESTEROL TOLERANCE TEST" has been carried out by Dr. Nirbhai Kumar under my direct supervision and guidance. The techniques and statistical methods used in this thesis have been undertaken by the candidate himself and checked by me from time to time.

Dated: 15.9. . 1989.

Navnit Agerwal)

M.D.,

M.L.B. Medical College, Jhansi.

(CO-GUIDE)

This is to certify that the work entitled "IMPROVEMENT AND REPIMEMENT OF EXISTING CHOLESTEROL TOLERANCE TEST" has been carried out by Dr. Mirbhai Kumer under my direct supervision and guidance. The techniques and statistical methods used in this thesis have been undertaken by the candidate himself and checked by me from time to time.

Dated: 15.9, ,1989.

Louist Than.

(Sumita Arora)

M.S.,

Reeder,

Department of Chatetrics
and Gynaecology,

M.L.B. Medical College,

Jhansi.

(CO-GUIDE)

-			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i								du diffici dece			in the state of			. As disconnection	
egg v or many groots	A	C	K	**	0	W	L	E	3	0	E	M	*	2	2		,	

The most pleasant duty in a work like this is to remember and ruminate my obligations to all those who have made its completion possible.

I consider it a previlege to express with a deep sense of gratitude my indebtedness to my Chief Guide Prof. R.C. Arora, MD., MCCP, FICA, D.Sc., Head, Department of Medicine, M.L.B. Medical College, Jhansi for the untiring help, able guidance, astute and unfailing scruitiny and careful supervision provided by him during the entire period of this study. It would be no exaggeration to state that but for his endless patience, invaluable suggestions and his dedication to provide me with every opportunity to learn the subject, this endeavour would never have seen light. Things that I have learnt from him will take me a long way in my carrier. He has not only been a valuable and immense help in my thesis but a memory that would be everlasting.

Words fail to express my despect sense of gratitude and sincere most thanks to my respected Coguide Dr. Nevnit Agarwal, M.D., Lecturer in Medicine, M.L.B. Medical College, Jhansi, who ungrudgingly rendered his valued criticism and learned advice as and when needed till completion of this work. His

efforts to get me over my initial hesitations and inspire in to me a sense of confidence were everwhelming. His cheerful attitude and reassuring presence in helping me tide over my difficulty faced in the work shall remain in my thoughts for ever.

My sincere most thanks are due to Dr. (Mrs.)
Sunita Arora, M.S., Reader; in Obstetries and Gynaecology,
M.L.B. Medical College, Jhansi who always helped me
in every possible way to achieve my target. Her
valuable suggestions, constructive criticism and
meticulous attention have gone a long way towards the
success of this work.

I find myself indebted to Prof. D.N. Mishra, MD, MNAMS, PCCP, Professor in Medicine, Dr. G. D. Shukla, MD, MNAMS, Ph.D., Lecturer in Psychiatry, Dr. P. K. Jain, MD, MNAMS, Lecturer in Medicine, Dr. Pravesn Rumar, MD, Dip. Card., DM(Cardl), Lecturer in Cardiology, Dr. T.V.S. Arya, MD, Lecturer in Medicine, Dr. R. K. Garg, M.D., Pool Officer in Medicine, for providing a constant source of inspiration and enthusiasm to complete the present work.

I will be failing in my duty if I do not extend my sincere most thank to Dr. Sanjay Lekhtekia, M.B.B.S., Resident, Department of Medicine for his invaluable, meticulous and consistent help throughout the period of this study. In no less degree I thank to my junior colleagues specially Bipin Goel, R.K.Verma Vivek Agarwal, Manu Tendon and Vimal Agha who have spared no efforts in making this project a success.

It gives me special pleasure to acknowledge the inmuluable help and constant inspiration provided by my parents. I also owe my thanks to my wife who provided moral support and encouragement during my hours of desperation due to overwhelming problems and time consuming process.

I am also thankful to Mr. B.M. Sharma for his les assistance and Mr. Phool Chandra Sachan for bringing out such a neatly typed script.

Lestly, I thank to all the subjects who volunteered in this project.

Dated : 14.9.89

Northai Kumar

(Nirbhai Kumar)

CONTENTS

		Page No.
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-4
2.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5 - 15
3.	MATERIAL AND METHODS	16 - 24
4.	OBSERVATIONS	25 - 64
5.	DISCUSSION	65 - 75
6.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	76 - 79
7.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	80 - 89
	Number Chart	90 _ 96.

IEIRODUCTION

basal serum cholesterol do not help in predicting an individual risk of developing atherosclerosis related complications like coronary artery disease(CAD). Over more than forty per cent of young patients of documented CAD do not reveal raised fasting cholesterol level (Oregory et al, 1983), yet they have rempant atherogenous vescular involvement. This indicates that importance of basal fasting cholesterol level in essessing risk for CAD has perhaps been over emphasized.

zilversmit (1973) postulated that atherogenesis may be a postprandial phenomenon. Transient postprandial rise of beta VLDL, chylomicron and formation of several species of unusual lipoproteins, may cause repeated cholesterol deposition in cells in arterial wall over the years, while festing cholesterol value may remain well within normal range over the same duration.

These facts clearly indicate that it is more important to study postprendial response of serum cholesterol and not merely the festing levels. Considering these facts previous workers in our department (Arora and Mangal et al, 1988) tried to evolve a simple cholesterol tolerance test by single point feeding of high cholesterol fat diet (HCFD) and then observing

behaviour of changes in lipid lipoprotein profiles at first and third postprandial hour.

This test though simple has many flave and limitations. Some of these are as follows :

- 1. Single dose NCFD given in the test consisted of 3 eggs plus 200 ml of milk (about 775 mg cholesteral and 20 gm fat). For formulation of a test it is important to use minimum amount of cholesterol fat diet stress that would produce significant changes (though magnitudes of such changes may be less). More so such large cholesterol load does not seem practical not acceptable to every one and probably useless.
- 2. Large segment of over population is vegetarian so evolving a test based on egg diet is not justified. Chalesterol fet diet in some other form like erystelline cholesterol butter and milk or a combined formula diet should be used, which will be acceptable to all members of the community.
- 3. The proposed cholesterol fat telerance test(CFT), takes into account only two postprendial samples one at one hour and another at three hours. We do not know presently that what is the time gap after a HCFD, at which peak level is achieved and after what time these changes disappear. Thus choosing these two postprendial samples seems arbitrary, excetic and probably without a proper retionals.

- 4. Unlike glucose telerance test, the response of serum cholesterol after feeding HCFD is not consistent, uniform and reproducible. The latter fector is of vital importance and if a test in an individual has not been shown to be reproducible, the validity of its importance is questionable.
- S. There has been a diverse behaviour of changes in lipid profile after feeding HCPD in the said test. In about half of the cases there become a fall of serum total cholesterol (STC) and low density lipse protein (LDL), after feeding HCPD but the remaining half show either a rise or no change. What should be considered a normal behaviour after feeding, remains unanswered.
- 6. Apart from fat and cholesterol, other dietary constitutes protein and carbohydrate induced lipid lipoprotein changes should also be observed so as to make a comprehensive comparison between these changes.

The aim of this study is to correct different flave and limitations of proposed single dose CFT by different clinical and pilot studies.

OBJECTS OF THE STUDY

 To find out whether plasme lipoprotein changes induced by egg cholesterol can be deplicated by crystalline cholesterol and food substances other than egg cholesterol.

- 2. To determine the quantitative and qualitative spectrum of the change in plasma lipid profile which would include earliest change, peak change and plateau of plasma lipoprotein profile by studying serum lipid profiles in pastprandial samples taken at different intervals.
- To essess whether the results of single dose cholesterol tolorance test are reproducible and predictable.
- To make comparison of single point cholesterol feeding with prolonged feeding (7 15 days) in same individuals.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

of the most important factor in development of atheroscierotic disorders. Numerous studies have implicated altered levels of plasma lipoproteins in pathogenesis of atheroscierosis. In particular, low level of high density lipoprotein, high level of low density lipoprotein and high level of serum total cholesterol appear to be high risk factor.

Atherosclerosis is a degenerative process associated with advancing years, mainly affecting larger arteries, particularly the coronary and cerebrals. The lesion of atherosclerosis passes through many phases - fatty streak, fibrous plaque and finally advanced lesion.

the changes in plasme lipoproteins after short term and long term feeding of cholesterel fat rich diet have been extensively studied in the past. Different types of experimental diets - erystalline cholesterel, egg cholesterel, butter, milk - cholesterel formula diets based on oil and protein and carbohydrate diets have been used to assess individuals response in plasma lipid profile (Conner et al. 1961; Rebornh Applebaum-newden, 1979; Beveridge, 1971).

EFFECT OF FEEDING ON SERUM TOTAL CHOLESTEROL(STC)

Effect of long term and short term feeding of

diet rich in cholesterol has been extensively studied over the past 30 years. Dietary fat and cholesterol causes changes in specific lipoprotein in a variety of animal species (Mahley et al, 1977), quantitatively, a change in specific lipoprotein may be dramatic in one species than in another.

Ancelkeys and Anterson et al (1956) concluded that serum cholesterol level is essentially independent of cholesterol intake over the whole range of matural human diets. But later on it was proved beyond doubt that feeding cholesterol rich diet for 2-8 weeks raises total serum cholesterol in blood (Arora et al, 1986; Messinger et al, 1950; Conner et al, 1961; Deborah Applebaum et al, 1979).

In an earlier report, Bruhm (1940) observed a 20% rise in mean cholesterol level after a fat lead. Effect of high cholesterol fat load on postprandial cholesterol levels has also been studied in the past by several workers, but insignificant difference has been found between postprandial and 10-14 hours fasting value (Albrink end Man, 1956; Pomeranze et al, 1954 and Schilling et al, 1964).

rentured vegetable proteins lowered total serum chelesterol in hyper cholesterolemic subjects with no change or slight elevation of HDL. Little effects were observed in normalipidemic subjects (Sirtari et al.

1985).

The replacement of animal protein with vegetable protein in the diet has been suggested to reduce the diet linked atherogenic (Carroll, 1982). However, sacks et al. (1983) found no appreciable correlation between total intake of protein, when consumed above minimum requirement and serum cholesterol level.

In one study, isocaloric replacement of starch with sucrose in mixed diet did not lead to changes in serum cholesterol (Mann and Truswell, 1972).

EFFECT OF FEEDING ON HIGH DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN (HDL)

Borden et al (1964) reported enhanced levels of HDL in rats fed cholesterol while Haff et al (1962) and Kritchersy (1965) reported no change in HDL levels in cholesterol fed rats.

There is evidence that substitution of large quantities of poly-unsaturated fat for saturated fat in diet can result in lower levels of HDL lipids and proteins (Nichaman et al. 1967). An increase in the P/S ratio from 0.25:1 to 4:1 in food diet fed to four normal subjects for five weeks resulted in reduction of HDL and apolipoproteins A-I concentration of 33 and 21% respectively, with an associated reduction in HDL2:HDL3 ratio (Shephered et al. 1978). Other studies have however reported either no change (lewis, 1978; Shore et al. 1981) or increase (Jackson and Glueck, 1980) in

levels of HDL with feeding of diets enriched in polyunsaturated fat.

High dietary intake of cholesterel, in the form of 3-6 egg yolk per day, has been reported to produce increase in apolipoprotein E-containing HDL sub species in human (Mahley et al, 1978). Tan et al (1974) showed that level of HDL and serum apolipoprotein A-I, but not apolipoprotein E increased with the feeding of diets high in both cholesterol and saturated fat.

Recently it has been shown that HDL apolipoprotein A-I levels increased when fat was consumed in
divided doses over a period of 10 hours, but not when the
same amount of fat was ingested as a single load (Kay
et al, 1980).

HDL AS A PREDICTOR OF CAD

of coronary atherosclerosis has been estimated to be four times greater than total cholesterol. Each 10 mg/dl change in cholesterol concentration results in 50 percent alteration in cardiovascular risk (Yaari S, Goldbourt U, Even-Zohar et al. 1981). The ratio of total cholesterol to HDL cholesterol also is about as efficient as any other lipid profile in predicting the future development of CAD (Gordon T, Kannel WB, Castelli WP et al. 1981).

LOW DENSITY LIPOSTOTEIN (LDL) CHANGES ON PREDING

Diet high in fat and cholesterol cause an elevation in LDL in most animals (Mahley, 1978). The response in man varion, but in those subjects who have an elevation in plasma cholesterol, there is an elevation in plasma cholesterol, there is an elevation in plasma LDL levels. Deborah Applehaum et al (1979) demonstrated significant rise of LDL level in human volumboers after feeding 5000 mg of egg yolk cholesterol per day for 10 days.

Age related difference in rise of LDL was demonstrated by Arers and Capta et al (1967). They found that rise of total serum cholecterol after feeding HCFD for one week was much more presented in young (20-30 years) volunteers with major parties of rise being contributed by increased HDL. Contrary, in older age person the rise of STC was less marked with LDL contribution, mainly in the increased levels.

Sand et al (1981) demonstrated that there was significant fall in level of LDL in five volunteers 3 hours and 5 hours after taking butter diet. They extributed this fall due to defect in VLDL hydrolysis by sarum lipases and due to metabolic blocking in liver or adipose tissues.

In addition to this, this has also been shown that diet induced LOS unlocales have large molecular size than those on low fat chalesterol diet (hadel et al. 1979). Clair and Leight (1978) have reported that the

dist induced, large LDL are capable of stimulating cholestaryl esterification and accumulation in smooth muscle calls to a greater extent than are normal LDL. Dist induced apoprotein fraction changes in LDL have also been reported (Mahley et al. 1977; Rudel et al.1979).

CONCEPT OF LOL RECEPTOR IN CONTROL OF STC

It is now considered that LDL receptors play a pivotal role in regulating the level of serum chalcoterol (Kita et al. 1982). In rebbits, rate and hometers more than helf of the total LDL receptors are located in the liver, However, the precise distribution of these receptors in man is unknown.

the liver's contest of cholosteral increase or its
demand for cholosteral is reduced. Thus receptor
suppression course when a high cholosteral diet is
consumed (Not et el, 1981) or when bile acide are infuned
(Ampalia et al, 1983). Conversely LDE receptors increaens when hepatic cholosteral synthesis is blocked by
drugs compacts or (Onldstein et al, 1983 and Bilhaimer,
et al, 1983), when bile acid hinding resise are given
(Shephared et al, 1980). Pasting has also been shown
to suppress LDE receptor in rebbits (Onldstein, 1982).
LDE receptors can be stimulated by thyroxino (Thompson,
1981) and by phermoslogic doose of cotrogen (Winder, 1980).

the rate of uptake of LDL by the liver and cause reciprocal changes in plasma LDL levels. Whenever hepatic LDL receptors are suppressed, the plasma LDL level rises, conversely, whenever, these receptors are induced, the plasma LDL levels fall. In familial hyperchelesterolomis the basic defect is reduced number of LDL receptors. In normal person about 45% of the plasma LDL pool is removed from the plasma daily by the receptors, whereas in familial hypercholesterolomia heterosyspecs it is about 15%. This receptor deficiency results in accumulation of LDL into the plasma, leading to raised level and premature atherospherosis.

PERDING INDUCED CHANGES IN SERUM TRIGUNGERISES (STG) AND VERY LOW DESSITY LIPOPROTEIN [VLDL)

Rise in the triglyworlde level after fat ingestion has been reported after giving different amounts of the fat lood and measuring the blood levels at different time interval (Mikkila and Konttinon, 1962) Desborrough, 1963).

Clednky et al (1976) moted a biphesic planes triglyseride curve with an initial peak securring 1 to 3 hours efter feeding and a secondary yeak after 4 to 7 hours, the primary peak was accounted by increase in chylanicres level in more than 98% cases, whereas secondary peak represented rise in very low density lipespoonin (VLOL)level in 68%.

Hemokrause et al (1987) did not reveal any significant changes in serum total cholesterol after a heavy fet cholesterol load, but found significant difference in triglyperide levels.

Arona and Kusheraha et al (1987) put forward the concept of triglyceride telerance test which showed significant difference in peak levels of STG in normal healthy patient of IND and that of diabetes.

Diet prior to the loading test meel, may be decisive under metabolic word conditions, significant difference in fet tolerance has been reported in healthy subjects on an isospheric diet, when the daily fet intelle per hig of body weight was varied from 0.1-2 g(Havel, 1987).

affect serve triglyceride level significantly. In human beings, glucose one hour and half on hour before as well as one and a half hour after a fet meal reduced or even eliminated the serve triglyceride rise (Albrink and Hem, 1956). Glucose addition to 131 I - labelled triolein caused a flatter triglyceride curve as compared to ingestion of the latter only (Norhowitz et al. 1959).

Long term studies on the effect of dietary protein on lipid level indicate that les protein intake is accompanied by a depression of serum lipids (Class et al. 1957).

of dietary carbohydrate (40% and 60%) in the usual diet for 15 days in 8 patients suffering with endogenous hyper triglyceridemia. Fasting blood samples were drawn on days 13, 14 and 15 of each dietary period. In addition, samples were also drawn 3 hours before and after noon meal on days 14 and 15. They reported that low fat, high earbohydrate diet accentuates the metabolic risk for CAD that is already present in patients of endogenous hypertriglyceridemia. They also reported that rise in plasme triglyceride is mainly depends upon total calorie inteles.

Arers and Rushwaha (1987) proposed a 'triglyceride tolerance test'. The workers gave a fet lead and found a significant peak at 5 hour in healthy volunteers.

CHOLESTEROL PAT TOLERANCE TEST

the concept of such test is now new. Herman (1907) studied the quantitative lipid changes in form of chylomicron count after giving a fet load. Brehavitz (1963) pointed out that redicactive fet tolerunce is a better index for determining the functional state of lipid metabolism.

Eliveramit's postulation of postprendiel hyper lipidemia as a possible factor for pathogenesis of atherosclerosis aroused interest in determination of postprendial changes in lipid fraction after a seal rich in fat and cholesterol.

DIFFERENT FACTOR'S REGULATING FAT TOLERANCE

Age has been shown an important factor. Chylomicron count has been shown to rise more after a fat lead in subjects more than 50 years as compared to the younger group (Becker et al, 1949). Hersetein et al (1953) observed that the total fats persisted longer in serum after fat leading in older subjects. Body weight and the duration of lipsuis were shown to be peorly related (Berritt, 1956).

Nissen (1931) showed that at root the lipid level of normal subjects increased by 42% after 3 hours of fet meel and the maximum was attained after 4 hours, while at work these figures were 36% at 3 hours,

prendial hyperlipemia. In habitual emoker, response to a fet meal indicated a lower postprendial rise in serum fet them to non-smoker (Konttinen and Rajaselmi, 1963). Marder et al (1952) showed that one digarette/hour caused the chyleniaron count to rise in a group of young subjects but not in 2 elderly subjects.

significantly between body weight and deretion of lipenia, however, it was shown that the fet telerance rose approximity after weight reduction was enforced,

RESP. ODUCIBILITY OF PAT TOLERANCE

Approducibility of fet tolerance has always been a controversial issue, while Morten (1950) and Camo et al (1957) showed reproducibility of test over a period of six months, Bronts Stewart and Blackburn (1958) found considerable variability in response to the same fet load.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The case material for the present study consisted of 31 healthy male and 17 healthy female volunteers of 15-46 years of age. The subjects were randomly selected from among the junior doctors and students of H.L.S. Medical College, healthy attendants of the patients attending OPD and wards of Medical College Mospital, Thensi and domestic servents.

Detailed history taking, thorough clinical examination and relevant investigation were done to exclude any cause of hyper lipoproteinemia. Detailed distary history was also elicited to assess the assess of different constituents - fat and cholesterel, protein and carbehydrate in the usual diet. Daily fat consumption (with its type egg, ghas, eil, milk and milk products,-eggs and food additives). Hajarity of the subjects were consuming less than 300 mg of cholesterel and P/S ratio of the usual diet ranged from 0.40 to 0.35. He famale subject of the study was using oral contraceptive at the time of study or 3 months prior to it.

DEBIGES OF TEST DIE?

As this study was taken to escess the changes in serum lipid profile after imposting different ensunt and types of cholesteral fet diet (and other types of diets. protein, earbohydrate), 14 different protocols were used.

All the subjects were asked to have their dimmer at 6.00 PM on the previous night and not to take anything except water till the next morning. Fasting blood samples were taken at 8 AM in recumbent pasture without producing venous stasis (Keerselman et al. 1961). After this they were given a test meel which different in different protocols.

different time intervals in different protocols. All subjects were confined to bed at the time of test and were not allowed to take mything except water. Pive mililitres of blood was collected for each test sample and places was separated from the blood within four hours. Pollowing tests were performed in each blood sample.

1. SERUN TOTAL CHOLESTEROL (STC)

Cholesteral estimation was done by one step method of Hybenga and Piloggi (1970) utilizing commercial kits supplied by ETHECR.

3. SERVIN TRIGLYCERIOR (STG)

Sorum triglyporide was estimated by acetyl meetome method, utilizing hits provided by HI-TECH Laberatories.

3. SERUM HIGH DEMSITY LIPOPROTRIN (MDL)

Quantitative estimation of HDL cholesterol in serum was done by kit supplied by ETHNOR, using the precipitating method.

4. SERUM LOM DEMSITY LIPOPROTEIN (LOL)

This fraction of lipoprotein was estimated by formula given by Friedwald et el. (1972).

LDL = STC - (STG/S + NDL) mg/61.

S. SERUM VERY LOW DENSITY LIPOPROMEIN (VLDL)

This too was estimated by above mentioned formula which is valid till STG values are less than 500 mg/dl.

Statistical analysis of the data was done by using different tests of significance (Paired *t* test and student 't' test).

PROTOGOL 1

Number of subjects - 10(6 males and 4 females).

TEST DIET: 100 gm butter smeared over 4

swerage sixed breads and 300 ml of boiled

sweetened milk. The provided about 300 mg

cholesterol and 95 gm of fet.

postprendial blood samples were taken at one hour interval upts five hour interval in most of the subjects.

Funder of subjects: 5(4 males and 1 female)

TRET DIET: 50 gm butter smeared over 4 breads

with 200 ml of milk. This provided about 160 mg of

sholesterol and 55 cm of fat.

Postprandial blood samples were taken at one hour interval upto five hours.

PROTOCOL 3

Number of subjects : 8(4 males and 4 females).

TEST DIET : Single bedied hem egg. supplying about 300 mg of cholesterol and 6 gm of fat.

Postprandial blood samples were taken at one and three hour.

PROTOCOL 4

Number of subjects : 2 (1 male and 1 female).

<u>TEST DIET</u> : 2 boiled eggs, providing about

600 mg cholesterol and 12 gm of fat.

Postprendial samples were taken at one and three hour.

PROMOCOL S

subjects : 2 (1 male and 1 female).

The same test diet was used in work done by Arera et al, 1989; when concept of cholesterol tolerance test was proposed).

suppressiol samples were taken at 15 minutes interval upto 1 hour and one sample at 3rd hour.

Subjects : 2 male subjects.

TEST DIET : 4 boiled eggs, providing about 1200 mg cholesteral and 24 gm fat.

Postprandial samples were taken at 1 hour interval upto 3 hours.

PROTOCOL 7

Number of subjects : 2 male subjects.

TEST DIET : 6 beiled eggs, providing about 1800 mg of cholesterol and 36 gm of fet.

Postprandial samples were taken at one hour interval upto three hours.

PROTOCOL A

Subjects : 2 (1 male and 1 female).

TEST DIET : 4 eggs albumin providing about 24 gm of fat and 24 gm of protein.

Postprendial samples were taken at one hour interval upto 3 hours.

provoces, 9

Number of subjects : 2(1 male and 1 female).

TEST DIET :75: on of glucose dissolved in vater.

Postprandial samples were taken at 1, 2 and

3 hours,

Number of subjects : 2 male subjects.

TEST DIET: 50 gm of pure (deel) ghoe with 4 breads.

Postprendial samples were taken at first, second, third and fifth postprendial hours.

PROTOCOL 11

Number of subjects : 2 (both males).

TEST DIET : 50 gm of saffola (Kardi) oil with 4 broads.

Postprandial samples were taken at one end three postprandial hours.

PR 070001 13

Number of subjects : 1 male subject.

TEST DIET: Alcohol in the form of 100 ml whisky 42.8% w/v. 750 proof.

Postprandial samples were taken at one and three hours.

PR 07000L 11

Number of subjects : S(male : female = 1:1).

TEST DIET : 1 gm of crystalline cholesterol dissolved in 200 ml of milk.

Postprendial samples were taken at 1 & 3 hours.

Number of subjects : 2 (males; these were same subjects which were studied in protocol 5).

Those subjects were asked to have 2 eggs plus 200 ml milk daily in their breakfast after single dose 'cholesterol telerance test', The second study was conducted after 15 days of first study.

Two postpondial complex were taken at one and three hours.

For the ages of study, subjects in these protocols were grouped as follows:

- GROUP A : Subjects studied under protocol 1 and 2(Test diet in the form of different amounts of butter).
- GROUP 3 : Subjects studied under protocol 3(Test dist in the form of single egg).
- GROUP C : Subjects studied under protocols 4,5,6 and 7(Test diet in the form of different grounts of egg cholesters).
- GROUP 2: Subjects studied under protocols 10,11, and
 12 (Test diet in the form of miscellaneous
 food articles).
- GROUP E : Subjects studied under protocol 13 (feet diet in the form of expetalline cholesterol).

Subjects in protocol 5 and 14 were the semme and they were put in group C.

ASSESSMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL RISK

Individual risk for developing etherosclerosis related disorder was also assessed by studying both - basel lipid lipoprotein profile and test dist induced changes in NOL, LOL and VLDL.

Assessment of risk by studying feating (been!)
profile: For this feating STC and LDL/HDL retio
in each individual was assessed and subjects were
cetegorised in following groups.

a. Low Rick Group

STC level less than 220 mg/dl and LDE/EDE ratio less than 3.

b. Border Line or Moderate Rick

1. SEC level more than 220 mg/41 or

ii. LDL/RDL retio more than 3, if STC level is less than 220 mg/61.

e. Hich Rich

STC Level more than 220 mg/61 and LDL/NDL retie more than 3.

2. Evaluation of risk after giving test diet was also done : This was based on an exhitrery scale, whose definite points were given on a definite change in question of LDL, VLDL and HDL. Following was the scheme.

Percentage of	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	section	
ef LDL, VLDL & HDL.	LOL		Vidle
Upto 5	•	•	0
5 to 15	+ 1	- 1	+0.5
15 to 30	+ 2	- 2	+1
30 to 45	+ 3	- 3	+1.5
45 to 60	+ 4	- 4	+2
Percentage of fall in basel level in LDL, VLDL & MDL			
Upto \$	0	•	•
5 to 15	- 1	+ 1	-0.5
15 to 30	- 2	+ 2	-1.0
30 to 45	- 3	+ 3	-1.5
45 to 60	- 4	+ 4	-3



OBSERVATIONS

The present work was conducted in 31 male and 17 female subjects of 15-60 years of age. They were divided into following 5 groups as per protocol of study as has already been detailed in saterial and methods.

GROUP A

It consisted of 10 male and 5 female subjects in age group 15-46 years with mean age of 27.7±8.4 years and mean weight of \$2.4±18.0 kg. Their some general particulars are shown in table 1.

GROUP B

It comprised of 8 subjects (male : female = 1:1) in age group of 20-34 years with mean age of 27.1±5.9 years and mean weight of 52.2±8.0 kg. Their other general particulars are depicted in table 1.

GROUP C

It comprised of 8 subjects (6 males and 2 females) in age group of 19-42 years with mean age of 38,8±7.1 years and mean weight of 57.1±7.3 kg. Their general particulars are given in table 2.

Green D

It comprised of 9 subjects (7 male and 2 female)
in age group of 15-60 years with mean age of 32.5±14
years and mean weight of 55.3±9.2 kg. Their general

particulars are given in table 2.

Ch.OUP 8

It consisted of 4 male and 4 female subjects in age group of 20-35 years with mean age of 29.044.5 years and mean weight of 5417.8 kg. Their general particulars are depicted in table 3.

TABLE 1: Showing general particulars of subjects of group A and B.

Characteristics	A SAN	A(sels)		
CCCUPATION				
Junior Doctors	2	13,3		
Students	5	33.3	2	25.0
Manual workers (Lebourer, domestic servents, fermers and others)	2	13.3	1	12.5
House wives	4	26.6	3	37.5
Pusinesseen	1	6.6	2	25.0
Executives	1	6.6	•	•
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY				
Sedentary		53,2	5	62.5
Moderate	4	26.6	3	37.5
Heavy	3	20.0	*	
DISTARY HABITS				
Vegetarias	10	67.0	\$	62.5
Hon-vegetaries	5	33.0	3	37.5
SHOKING				
Smaker (/10 eigerotte/day)	2	13.0	2	25.0
Hopsmoker/Occasional	13	87.0		75.0
PAT TOLERANCE				
	6	40.0	3	37.5
Moderate Heavy	8	53.2 6.6		4.5

TABLE 2: Showing general characteristics of subjects of group C and D.

Cherecteristics	ST SE	p C(四年) (元)	Grov No.	D (2-9)
OCCUPATION				
Student	1	12.5	1	11.1
Manual workers	3	37.5	2	22.2
Bussinessan	1	12.5	3	33.3
Housevives	2	25.0	3	22.2
Army personnel	1	12.5		
Covernment servent		•	•	•
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY				
Sedentary	4	50.0	5	55,6
Moderate	1	12.5	3	33.3
Houvy	3	37.5	1	11.1
TIBAH YAATII				
Yegetarian .	3	37.5	\$	55.6
Woo-vegeterian	5	62.5	4	44.4
SMCKTORG				
Smoker (710 cig./day)	4	50.0	5	55.6
Monemokers or (10 elg./day	4	50,0	4	44.4
PAT COMSUMPTION				
Low	4	\$0.0	7	77.8
Mode rate	2	25.0	2	22.2
Y ilgh	2	25.0		

TABLE 3 : Shweing general characteristics of subjects of group C.

Characteristics	fro.	C (9 0 8)
CC UPATION		
Duringanen	3	37.5
Labourer	1	12,5
fous evives	4	50.0
MY ICAL ACTIVITY		
destary	5	62.5
folerate	2	25.0
louvy	1	12.5
DIETARY HABIT		
Vegetarians	5	62.5
ion-vogotariens	3	37.5
MCKING		
Smokers	1	12.5
Monamokers .	7	87.5
PAT CONSUMPTION		
Low	6	75.0
Moderate	2	25.0
H1gh		

TEST DIET INDUCED CHANGES IN SERUM TOTAL CHOLESTEROL (STC) LEVEL IN DIFFERENT STUDY GROUPS

TABLE 4 : Showing relation of different parameters with fasting STC in present study (Mean+S.D. mg/dl).

transtors	maleratus, ataura, bei bisat otasta, pain and aran an	STC
. SEX : Male (n-31)	195.6±31.4
Female (n=	17)	193.6220.2
t = 0.43	7 . 7	0.05
. HYSICAL ACTIVITY		
sedentary (n=27)	(X)	200.0123.2
Moderate (m=13)	(II)	197.2+32.9
Heavy (n=8)	(III)	170.9:21.1
I . III, e	= 1,986,	» <u>/</u> 0.05
. FAR COMBUMPTIONS		
Ton (m=36)	(x)	167.1220.3
Moderate (m=19)	(II)	201.7±27.6
High (n=3)	(III)	236.8±34.7
Z . II. t	- 2,021,	P 20.05
I . III. 4	* 3,301,	> 20.01
• SHOKING		
Honomokers (n=34)	(x)	192.0430.7
Smokers (m=14)	(XX)	202,240,2
I a II. &	w 0.765s	p 70.05

All subjects except one (2,08%) in the present study had fasting STC level within 240 mg/dl, 16(33.3%) had their levels in the range of 210-240 mg/dl while

remaining 31 (64.6%) had their feating level below 210 mg/dl. The mean feating level in males (m=31) was 195.6±31.4 mg/dl. This level was almost similar to that of females (m=17) which was 195.6±20.2 mg/dl. Mman STC in sedentary persons was 200.3±23.2 mg/dl while in mederately active it was 197.2±32.9 mg/dl. Persons, who used to do heavy exercise had significantly low level of STC (170.8±21.1 mg/dl. P (0.05). There was no significant effect of smoking on feating STC in present study. Amount of fet intake had significant impact on STC levels, while no significant difference could be observed between vegetarians and nonvegetarians (Table 4).

CHANGES IN GROUP A

Erstossi 1

In protocol 1, when test diet was given, there was rise in STC at first hour. This further increased at second hour in most of the subjects. This level started showing fall and was near the basel level at about 5 hour (Table 5).

In 8(80%) subjects of this group there was a peak rise at second pertprendial hour. In one subject peak level was attained at first hour while one subject showed a fall from basel level. The maximum magnitude of rise of 32 mg/61 (17% of basel) and of fall of 19mg/61 (8.2% of basel) was observed. The range of rise was between 5 to 17.9 percent of basel value.

comparatively low 5TC level. The rise was more prominent in females. Age could not be related with the magnitude of rise in this group, basically because most of the subjects in this group were young (15,35 years). No correlation could be observed between magnitude of rise and dietary habit, amount of daily fet intake, smoking habit, body weight and type of life style.

PATOMERSON A

The meen STC in this subgroup was 185.5±33.9 mg/dl. After impaction of test diet this started rising and attained to peak at 2 hour in all but one subject. In most subjects it returned to basel level at 5 hours (Table 5). The maximum quantum of rise of 28 mg/dl (15.3% of basel) was observed, in 2 subjects. The range of rise was between 8 to 15 percent of basel value. One subject showed fall of 16 mg/dl (7.8% of basel).

TABLE 5 : Showing changes in STC in group A subjects.

Protocol	Ze	et.	(I) get					
1 (m=10)	80	9.	12/4.1		-	216,121	1,2	204,3419,8
	I		II.	*	•	3.012,	P	70.03
	1		III.	*		2,136,	P	Z0.08
2 (m=5)	10	9.	6,33.9			190,0134	1.8	192.0,38.4
	I		II,		-	1,676,	P	70.05
	-		III.		-	1,636,	P	70.05

STO progressively increased with age in this group. The magnitude of rise in STC was less marked in subjects following this protocol, in comperison to previous one, where, just double amount of cholesterol fat was used.

CHANGES IN GROUP B

Protocol 1

Hown fasting STC was 187.8 \pm 27.1 mg/dl in this group. When test diet was given it fell to 172.2 \pm 21.8 mg/dl at first hour. The value was near the basal value at 3 hour. This full in STC was statistically significant (p \angle 0.05) (Table 6).

The mean Serting STC in makes (177.7±38 mg/dl) was insignificantly lower than females (198±21.9 mg/dl). After impostion of test dist 6(75%) subjects showed fall in STC at 1 hour. Two (25%) subjects showed an insignificant rise at first hour. Nazimum magnitude of fall of 26 mg/dl (11.5% of basel) and rise of 13 mg/dl (7.9% of basel) was observed.

TABLE 6: Showing changes in STC in group %(NoamgSD mg/61)

Protocol Fasting (I) 2 hour after 5 hour after
test diet(II)

3 (mm6) 187.0g27.1 172.2g21.8 163.3g23.7

I II, 1 = 2.011, 7 20.05

CHARGES IN GROUP C

In this group 4 different protocols were used in which different encunt of egg cholesterol was used.

Fotorol 4

When 2 eggs diet was given to 2 subjects two different feeding behaviour was noted. In 1 subject(male) STC increased from 225 mg/dl to 236 mg/dl at first hour and fell ten236 mg/dl at third hour. Thus there was rise of 5.4% of the basel at first hour.

In second subject (female) STC fell from basel value by 14 mg/dl (7.7% of basel) at first hour. It further fell by 7 mg/dl at 3rd hour.

Protocol 5

This protocol used the same test diet as proposed by Arora et al (1988). The subjects following this protocol were one 32 years female and another 35 years old male subject.

In first subject STC level impressed from 236 to 250 mg/dl - a rise of 14 mg/dl which accounted for 6% of basel value, third hour sample was similar to first hour sample. Second subject showed a fall of 20 mg/dl (0.6% of basel).

In both these subjects samples were collected at 15 minutes, interval upto 1 hour to know the enset of rise or fall of STC when took diet is given. The study of STC in different 15 minutes sample showed that if the STC is destined to increased at first hour, the rise becomes apparent right from 15 minutes, sample and if it is destined to fell at first hour, this fall is there from begining. Apart from sax difference, both these subjects had similar life style and daily fat intake.

Protocol 4

when test diet consisting of 4 eggs was given to 3 subjects, both of them showed fall in STC at first hour which became more or less near the basel value at 3rd hour. In first subject STC was high (200 mg/4) which decreased to 276 mg/dl at first hour - a meagre 1.6% fall. In second subject STC was normal 200 mg/dl. Here the fall of 7% of basel value was observed. Both the subjects were smokers, non vegetarians and used to consume moderate amount of fat per day.

Previous 1

Two male subjects were given a test diet consisting of 6 eggs. In both the subjects level fell at first postprendial hour. The magnitude of fell were 14 mg/dl (7.7% of basal) and 18 mg/dl (833% of basal) respectively. Third postprendial hour STC levels were close to fasting.

Pollowing important observations were made by studying different protocols in this group :

1. The changes in STC are little influenced by amount of egg yelk cholestered in test dist.

- 2. Subjects who had comparatively higher besel STC showed little change after feeding while those with normal STC levels, showed more marked changes (rise or fell) after feeding.
- 3. Subjects in whom STC is destined to fall at one hour, it starts falling from very begining. Same is true for rise of STC after feeding test dist.
- 4. The changes in STC after feeding are not very marked excluding few individual cases.

when the data of these different protocols were pooled, these changes reflected statistically insignificant.

(Table 7).

TABLE 7: Showing effect of different amount of cholesterel on STC at 1 and 3 hour (Meants.D. mg/dl).

and the second second second second	7e		Log (I)		1 hours			3 hour after test dist(III)
	21(3.4	5 <u>1</u> 30.7			211.	1437	.5	220.0±34.0
	x		II,		101	1,327,	,	70.05	
	*		III,	*		0,096,	>	70.05	
	II		III.			1.390,	P	70.05	

CHANGES IN GROUP D

In which different unconventional distary items were used in test dista. These distary items had mil or insignational mount of cholesteral.

perotocol. A

When test diet consisting of 4 egg albumin (egg yolk removed) was used in 2 subjects, one subject(male) showed increase in STC level at first postprendial hour which further increased insignificantly at second post-prandial hour. This value showed decline at 3 hour but was still higher than the basel value. The rise was 8.4% of fasting balue.

In second subject (female) STC increased at first hour (6.1% of basel level) and then showed decline at second and third hour. The third hour value was approaching the basel value. The quantum of rise in both the subjects was less than 10% of the basel value.

PROPERTY.

That diet in the form of 75 g glucose dissolved in 200 ml of water was given to one young female subject aged 25 years and another male aged 55 years. In first subject there was rise in STC (6% of basal) while in other there was fall in STC (6% of basal).

Protecal 10

In this subgroup test diet was given to 2 cases. Both of them showed rise in STC with peak level at 2 hour in first case and 3rd hour in second case. The quantum of rise in first case was 17.1% of basel, while in second case it was 13% of basel level.

PERCENCED III

when 2 male subjects were given 50 gm of Saffela oil with 4 breeds STC impressed in both the cases by TX of basal value and 8.5% of basal value respectively. The level reached near basal value at 3 hour.

Protocol 13

In this protocol a single subject was given a diet based on 100 ml alcohol. The STC increased by very mangre margin (4% of basel). This subject had been taking alcohol in moderate emount for last 10-12 hour. The fasting STC was 173 mg/dl.

In studying different protocols, where unconventional test diet viz. pure ghoe, saffols oil, egg albumin, glacose and alcohol were given insignificant changes in STC were observed.

CHANGES IN GROUP E

The mean STC in this group of subjects was 193.2 17.0 mg/dl. It increased to 210.0130.1 mg/dl after inquestion of test diet (1 g crystalline cholesteral plus 200 ml milk) at first postprandial hour. The value further increased to 216.75129.7 mg/dl at 3rd hour. The changes were found to be statistically significant (Table 8).

cut of 8 subjects, 6(75%) showed size in STC at first hour, while 2(25%) showed a fell from facting value. Maximum quantum of rice of 34 mg/41 (19.7%)of besal) and fall of 18 mg/41 (10.7% of besal) was abserved. Subjects having comparatively low facting STC showed more marked rice in STC after test diet facting. The range of rice was 8.3 to 19.7% of besal value.

TABLE 8: Showing changes in group R subjects in STC levels (Mean±8.0. mg/dl).

Fasting(I)				hr ofter est locd(II)		hr after	
19	3.2	±17.0		21	10.0430.1		216.0±23.7
1	*	II.	t		1.996	p	20.05
I		III.	*	-	1,489	P	Z0.05

EFFECT OF PROLONGED FEEDING ON STO

Two asubjects who were studied under protects

5 were asked to take 2 eggs plus 250 ml milk daily in
their breakfast for 15 days. Fasting sample when taken
on 16th day showed following changes:

	Pasting STC (study 1)	After 15 days of feeding (study 2)
1.	236.00 mg/dl	230,00 mg/dl
2.	230.00 mg/41	240,00 mg/41

These changes were statistically insignificent and showed that this diet was not sufficient to cause any change in STC even after prolonged feeding for 15 days.

REPRODUCIBILITY OF TOLERANCE TEST

These 2 subjects were again given the same test diet (3 eggs plus 250 ml milk) on day 16 to assess the reproducibility of tolerance test. It was seen that though absolute values differ considerably at first and third hour, the feeding behaviour of both the individuals remained the same. In first subject, there was rise in STC at 1 hour in both the studies while in

second subject there was fall at 1 hour. The quantum of rise and fall also varied considerably.

CHANGES IN HIGH DENSITY LEPOPROTEIN (MOL) IN DIFFERENT STUDY GROUPS

The mean NDL level in males was 50.6±8.9 mg/dl while in females it was 56.4±5.8 mg/dl. No statistically significant difference could be showed between NDL level and type of diet, dietary fat tintake, weight smoking and type of life style.

gives in gave a

A Listage L. L.

The mean fasting NOL in 10 subjects of this group who were given a test diet of 100 on butter and 4 breads was \$1.146.6 mg/dl. It increased significantly to 50.140.4 mg/41 at 2nd postprendial hour and then showed a fall at 5th hour. The fifth hour value was 55.2 ± 8.09 mg/dl when despared to fasting and second hour value this difference was statistically insignificant. 9(90%) subjects showed rise after test dist (Table 9) with peak value occurring either at first postprendial hour (44.5% of eases) or at 2nd hour (55.5% of cases). The value reached basal fasting value of 5th hour in most of subjects. The meximum magnitude of rise of 20 mg/dl (35% of beeal) and fall of 11 mg/dl (19% of besal) was observed. He significant correlation could be made with festing HDL and diet induced changes in HDL with that of ago, sax, body weight and distary habits.

Printego 1

The mean fasting NOL in this group was 44.2± 11.0 mg/dl. This value increased to 52.4±11.5 mg/dl at 2nd hour and fell to 50.0±10.3 mg/dl at 5th hour. All the 5 subjects showed rise after intake of test diet. In 6 subjects (80%) peak was observed at 2 hour, while in one subject (20%) this was observed at first hour (Table 9).

TABLE 9: Changes in HDL in cases of group A. (Nean \pm 8.0. mg/dl).

Protocol	Pasting(I) 1 hour after test diet(II)	3 hour after test diet(III)
1	51.126.0	58.128.5	55.2±8.09
	1 . 22,	4 - 2,013	P 20.05
	II . III	t = 1,266	P 70.05
	X : XXX	1.768	> 70.es
2	44.2211.	82.4211.5	50.0110.35
	2 . 21	1.663	P 70.05
	I . XX	r,	p 70.08
	II . II	Ι,	p 70.05

CHANGES IN GROUP B

the mean fasting NDL in this group was 49.1 ±7.6 mg/dl. It incremed insignificantly to 49.5±8.1 mg/dl at first hear (P 70.08). It fell to 47.5±7.6 mg/dl at third postprendial hour (Table 10). In 4 subjects (50%), there was rise in seron NDL at first postprendial hour while remaining 4 subjects showed fall. Notimes quantum of rise of 8 mg/dl was observed.

TABLE 10 : Showing changes in NOL in group B.

Pasting				our after t diet(II)	3 hour after test dist(III)		
49.	14	7.6	4	9,549,1	49.1±7.6		
I	*	II	N	70.05			
I	*	III to	3	70.05			
II		III		70.05			

CHARGES IN GROUP C

Particul 4

When test diet of 2 eggs wes given in 2 subjects NDL increased in both the cases by 4 mg/dl(7,1% of besal) and 6 mg/dl (10% of basal) respectively.

Name of the second

After ingestion of test diet NDL increased in both the subjects by 4 mg/dl (11.1% and 8% of basal value respectively).

Protessal 6

HIR increased by 6 mg/41 (10.7% of basal) at first hour in one subject, while it foll by 6 mg/41 (14.2% of basal) is the other.

Exelected. 1

HDL increased in both the cases by 8 mg/61 and 14 mg/61 (13.7% and 28% of basel) respectively after importion of test dist.

Thus there was little change in HDL level when different amount of egg cholesterol was given except in few. Individual cases. The amount too has little relation with changes in HDL level.

CILANGES IN GROUP D

When different types of test diets (wide supre) were given, this group of subjects showed little insignificant rise with almost all types of diet. Maximum magnitude of rise was seen when test diet based on ghee (pure) was used. It was 8 mg/dl at 2nd hour (which accounted for 17.3% of basel value). Fall in HDL level was observed when alcohol was given. It fell by 10 mg/dl (16.6% of basel).

CHANGES IN GROUP E

The mean fasting HDL was 67.3110.1 mg/dl. It increased to 59.811.8 mg/dl at first postprendial hour. The value at 3rd hour was almost similar (55.219.7 mg/dl) when compared with the fasting value. Those differences were found to be statistically insignificant (Table 11).

TABLE 11 : Showing changes in HDL in group E. (Mean ± 8.0. mg/41).

Parking(I)	l home after test dist(II)	1 hour after test dist(III)	
67.3410.1	55.0±11.0	95,3±9.7	
	p 70.05		
I SIII	p 70.05		

EFFECT OF PROLONGED PEEDING ON HDL

The MDL level after 15 days of feeding wes slightly changed.

	Prior to prolonged	Lavels (mg/dl)
	Prior to prolonged	After prolonged
1.	36	40
2.	60	56

REPRODUCIBILITY OF 1887

In both the subjects there was similar change in MDL in first study. It impressed by 4 mg/61(11%) in first subjects, while it increased by 8 mg/61 (11% over basel) in second. Study-2 showed the MDL level, too, increased in both the cases, though the quantum of this rise was variable.

CHANGES IN SERUM TRIGLYCERIDE (STG)

the mean serum triglyceride in males was 166,2224.8 mg/61 which significantly higher than the females (150,422.6 mg/61, P _0.05). The smokers(s=14) had mean festing triglyceride level of 160,1625.33 mg/61, which was similar in non smokers (150,1214.4 mg/41). Physical activity and intake of fet influenced the STG level in the present study, but type of diet intake (vegetaries or non vegetaries) had little influence on it (Table 13).

TABLE 12 : Showing relation of different parameters with fasting STG (Near ±8.0. mg/61).

Perc	Stern		579
	ical activity		
1. 1	Sedentary (m=27)	(2)	170.4122.8
2. 1	Moderately active(m-18) (II)	166,0110,9
3. 1	feavy (n=0)	(111)	150.0126.8
	I : III, t = 1.969		.os
1. 1	Low (n=28)	(I)	148,5122.6
2. 1	roderate (m=19)	(II)	156,5128.8
3. 1	(Lon) Apit	(III)	150,7110.2
	No statistical signif	Legace w	s observed
	of diet. Intake		
1.	Pogetariam (m-20)	(2)	162.5225.3
2. 1	Son vegetarian (m=20)	(II)	160,5218.4

CHANGES IN GROUP A

Exetesel_1

this protocol was 197.0119.6 mg/61, when took diet was given this value increased to 161.0114.6 mg/61 at 2nd postprandial hour and fell back to sear basel value (159.016.6 mg/61) at 5th postprandial hour (Table 13). These differences were not statistically significent. Like STC, STG level posted at 2 hour in 70% of cases.

The pack was seen at first hour in 20% of cases while in one subject (10%) there was fall of 570 level after feeding. The maximum magnitude of rise of 24 mg/dl (14.6% of basal value) was seen.

ACCOUNTS AND ACCOUNTS

Five subjects in this subgroup had mean fasting 570 value of 153.2±26.9 mg/dl. It increased to 165.3±23.8 mg/dl at 2 hour and fell back to mear basel level at 5th hour. These differences were statistically insignificant, the maximum quantum of rise of 20 mg/dl (11.3% of basel) was seen (Table 13).

TABLE 13 : Showing changes in STG level in group A (Mman +5.D. mg/41)

	Pasting(I)	I hour after test dist(II)	5 hour after took dick(III
1	157.0-19.4	161.0414.0	159.0216.6
	2 . 12	t = 0.673,	p 70.05
	I . III	t = 0,590,	P 70.05
	XX & XXX	t = 0.787,	p 70.05
	153,3226,9	165.2427.8	157.2:22.6

No statistical significance between these changes was choosed.

CHANGES IN GROUP

Subjects of this group had meen fasting STG Sevel 141.7±20.1 mg/dl. This value was 140.7±18.2 mg/dl at first hour after taking test diet and 139.0±17.6mg/dl at third postprendial hour (Table 14). These changes were statistically insignificant, when paired 't' test was applied.

SMG fell in 4 subjects (SOX), while it increased in remaining SOX subjects at first hour. The meximum quantum of rise of 18 mg/dl (7.5% of besel) and fall of 12 mg/dl (7.7% of basel) was seen at first hour after taking test diet.

TABLE 14 : Showing changes in STG level is group B. (Mean ± S.D. mg/dl).

/to	el.	(2)			after Let(II)	hour alter test dist(III)
141	.75	220.1	140	7	14.2	139.0±17.69
I	*	II,		***	0.437	,
1		III,			0.663	
II		III,		-	0.560	

CHANGES IN GROUP C

Paratorial A

SEG level incremed in both the subjects at first pertprendial hour by 12 mg/61 (6.5% of besal) and 4 mg/dl (2.5% of besal). This further increased at 3rd hour in first subject, while it was means besal level in second subject.

greteral_5

and level increased in first subject by 14 mg/41 (65 of basel) at first postprendial hour, while it decreased by 14 mg/41 (6.6% of basel) in second subject. Third hour value was similar to basel value in both of the subjects.

Earlier work done by Arers ot al (1988)

the subjects.

Earlier work done by Arora et al (1968) in this department showed a fall in LDL and STG level in majority of the healthy subjects when some test diet was given.

Esphesial 6

When 2 subjects of this subgroup were given a test diet similar pattern was seen while one subject showed a fall of 18 mg/dl (9% of basel), other subject showed rise of 8 mg/dl (5% of basel value).

Person Property Land

In this group both the subjects showed rise in STG level at first hour. This rise was 20 mg/61 (14.7% of basel value) in first subject, it was just 6 mg/61 (4% of basel value) is second subject. In both subjects third hour value was similar to basel values.

When data of this group were pooled together
it was seen that in 6 subjects (75%), there was rise in
STG level at first hour after receiving different amount
of egg yelk diet, while 2 subjects (25%) showed fell.

CHANGES IN GROUP B

PROBLEM L

when test diet consisting of egg albumin was given to 2 subjects of this group, one showed a rise

of 6 mg/dl (3.3% of basel) and other showed a fall of 8 mg/dl (3% of basel).

Protocol 9

Similar trend as above was observed. A fall of 8 mg/dl (4.2% below basel level) and rise of 11 mg/dl (6.7% of basel value) was seen at first hour after taking test diet.

Protocol 10

In this subgroup STG level increased in both subjects by 12 mg/dl (10% of basel) and 18 mg/dl (12% of basel) respectively at first hour of feeding. At third hour these values were near basel value.

Protocol II

In this subgroup, also, STG level increased at first postprandial hour. The rise was 10 mg/dl in both the subjects, which accounted 5.9% and 5.5% of basel value respectively.

Protocol 11

STG level increased by a meagre margin of 6 mg/41 (13% of basel value) when subjects was given diet based on alcohol.

CHANGES IN GROUP &

The mean fasting STG of this group subject was 164.5g17.5 mg/dl. It increased significantly to

176.5±22.2 mg/dl at first hour. This value fell to 170.7±26.7 mg/dl at 3rd hour (Table 15). The maximum magnitude of rise was 18 mg/dl (12% of basel value).

TABLE 15 : Showing changes in STG level in group E. (Mean ± S.D. mg/dl).

TO COMMITTEE THE PROPERTY OF T	- Service Land		ing (l hour of test flet		
	10	4.	5417,	8		176,9122.8		170.7226.7
	1		II.		*	2.013	P	29.05

PYFECT OF PROLONGED PEEDING ON STO LEVEL

Effect of prolonged feeding on STG was observed in 2 subjects. This feeding increased STG level in both the cases.

	Elec	Section 570 Ac Section 100 Section 100 Se	After prolonged feeding
1.		176	190
2.		210	21.6

REPRODUCIBILITY OF PERGING BEHAVIOUS

the STG levels in prior study increased after feeding in one subject, while it decreased in other, this time, too, the same behaviour pattern was duplicated though absolute values differed.

CHANCES IN VICE

As VLDL levels were calculated from STG levels.

The changes is this parameter ran exectly parellel to

STG changes.

CHANGES IN LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN (LDL)

16	Showing	chesque	in	LDL	with	different
	permete	NEW (News	4	S.D.	ma/6	11).

		the state of the s	IDL
L.	332		
	Male	· .	117.2425.6
	Prove La		114.1:22.5
		P 70.05	
*	PERMI YEARSED		
	Nonvogeter	ans	118.3±33.6
	Vegetarians		98.5110.8
		2.647. 9	LO.05
			118.2227.2
	Non makers		110.0124.0
		7 0.05	_
	PRESTURAL ACTIVI	TH.	
		(I)	120.6120.6
	Moderate	(II)	101.7-29.5
	Active	(III)	104.3433.2
	x . II. t	= 1.902, P	<u> </u>
	DEUX PAY ASSA		
		(I)	196.2±38.4
	Moderate	(II)	116.7±23.2
	III. gh	(III)	192,7426.6
	I 1 II,	t = 1.023,	p 70.05
		t = 3,968,	
		t = 2,403,	

subjects in this study, which was insignificantly higher (p 70.05) than females (114.1±22.5 mg/d1). Vegetarisms had significantly lower level than non vegetarisms. There was no significant change between smoker and non smoker. Physical activity did exert the effect on LDL level. The inignificant effect was observed between sedentary persons and sederately active persons, while active persons had almost same level as pessessed by moderately active persons. Amount of fat intake also influenced the serum LDL level. It was higher in high fat consumers, while lev in moderate and lew fat pensumers (Table 16).

CHARGES IN CROSS A

Protocol 1

Pasting LDL level in this group of subjects was 113.5;14.1 mg/dl which increased after feeding. The peak was at second hour in 5 subjects (50%). One subject shound fall at first and second hour, while in other there was virtually so change in first and second hour. The mean value at second hour was 123.9;14.2 mg/dl. The value at fifth hour was almost at bessel level 117.1;14 mg/dl. Those changes at second hour was found to be statistically significant (Table 17). The meximum magnitude of size of 22 mg/dl (20% of bessel) was observed

while one subject showed a fall of 19 mg/dl (23% of basal value).

Frotocol a

Five subjects who were fed 50 gm of butter showed two types of responses :

- 1. In 3(60%) subjects LDL level fell at first hour.
- 2. In 2(40%) subjects LDL level incressed at 1 hour.

The mean LDL value in this group was 110.3± 38.9 mg/dl. At hour this value fell to 107.3±47.0 and at fifth hour, it was similar to fasting value (111.3± 42.6 mg/dl). These values were not statistically significant (Table 17). The maximum quantum of rise 21 mg/dl (36% of basel value) and maximum quantum of fall was 22 mg/dl (29% of basel value).

TABLE 17: Showing changes in serum LDL level in group A (Heat ± 5.D. mg/dl).

Protocol	Parting(2)	hour after test (Let (II)	I hour after test diet(III)
	113.0414.1	123.9414.2	117.3:14.0
	X + ZZ,	2.031, P	£0.05
	110,3230,3	107.3247.0	111.2442.6

CHANCES IN CROSS S

Eight subjects of this group were fed a single egg test dist. The festing LDL level in this subject

was 110.4220.9 mg/dl. This level fell to 94.85220.9 mg/dl at first postprandial hour. The level at 3 hour was most basel level (Table 18). Six(75%) subjects showed fall at 1 hour, while 2(25%) subjects showed rise at first hour. Maximum fall of 36 mg/dl (42% of basel value) and rise of 15 mg/dl (12.7%) of basel value) was seen.

TABLE 18 : Showing changes in LDL level in group B. (Nean ± S.D. mg/dl).

P		ting (I)				lest diet(III)
2.1	10,	4420	.9	94,8	5420	0.9	106.0423.6
*	*	II.		2,132,	P	∠0.05	

CHAMGES IN GROUP C

Protectol A

The LDL level in one subject increased by 6 mg/41 (4%) of basal value), while it fell by 19 mg/41 (16% of basal) in other subject in 1 hour.

Protection 5

The same pattern was abserved in this subgroup also. Rise of 8 mg/41 (13% of bess!) and fall of 23mg/41 (26% of bess!) respectively in two subjects.

Protect 4

Same pattern was seen here also with one subject showing rise in LDL et 1 hour (14% of beeal) and other showing fall of 22% of basel at first hour.

Estadel 7

In this subgroup both the subjects showed a fall of LDL at 1 hour. This fall was 26 mg/dl (24% of basel) and 23 mg/dl (46% of basel) respectively.

CHANGED IN GROUP B

In this group very low variation in level of LDL was seen except in protocol 10, where subjects were fed 50 gm pure given with breads. Here one subject showed a rise of 19 mg/61 (19% of besal) at 3rd hour, similarly drastic rise was also observed in a subject following protocol 11 (Tuking diet based on Saffela oil) where a rise of 20 mg/61, was seen. Of subject who consumed alsohol based diet showed a prak at 2 hour with rise of 22 mg/61 (30% of basel value).

CHANGES IN GROUP B

The mean feating LDL in this group of subjects was 112.9±18.6 mg/41. It increased to 118.9±32.8 mg/41 at second hour while it further increased to 128.15±27.8 mg/41 at fifth hour (make 19). In 6(75%) subjects there was rise in LDL level after feeding the test dist. while in 2(25%) cases there was a fell in LDL level after the inquestion of test dist. The maximum quantum of rise of 33.8 mg/41 (25% of basel value) was seen.

TABLE 19 : Showing changes in serum LOL in group E. (Mean ± 8.D. mg/dl).

SELLING AND ADDRESS OF	Columnitudes of the co	M (I)			our arter		\$ hour after test dist(III)
11	2.0	118.6		11	18,9432.8		120.15±27.5
1		III,	*		1,994,	P	49.05

CHARGES DR LELADOL RATTO

TABLE 20 : Showing relation of LDL/NDL ratio with different parameters in present study.

Pere		LDL/4DL Retio
	e Male	2,240,6
	Penale	2.410.0
SMOK	182	
COMPANY A SHEP YOU THE THE		2.1±0.6
	Non anakati	2.340.7
DIE	A Y HABIT	
Company of the second	Vegetarian	2,310,5
	Hom vegets:	riene 2.4 ₂ 0.9
FATE	TEAL ACTIVITY	
Anthony annual control		2.420.6
	Redureto	1.010.4
	Heavy	1.920.9
TAT		
gardel to Contract to		2.01±0.5
		2,1±0,7
	ateh	3.140.9
		ere statistically insignificant

The mean LDL/NDL ratio in fasting stage was 2.2±0.6 in male subjects of this study. Female subjects of this study had similar ratio of 2.4±8.8. The relation of LDL/NDL ratio in fasting stage with different parameters have been shown in table 20.

CHANGES IN GROUP A

Protocol 1

The mean basel ratio was 2.2±9.28 in this group. It became 2.1±0.4 at second hour and 2.1±0.4 at fifth hour. There was no statistical significant.

Protocol 1

The mean basel ratio in 5 subjects of this group was 2.7±1.1. It decreased after feeding and became 2.3±1.1 at second hour. The value at fifth hour was similar to second hour value. These changes were not statistically significant.

CHANGES IN OTHER GROUPS

There was no statistical significance in LDL/ADL ratio in any group after feeding. Parting ratio and changes in ratio have been shown under assessment of individual risk.

	ľ						PASTURE LIPTO LIPO-	TENOL PEDING RESULPS (SCORING STOTISM ON THE BASIS OF ARBITRARY SCALE
			ŀ	-	•	3		
		8.5	3			1:1	The Mary and Preside a 2.1	That diet induced changes
		D.S.		122.6	5.6		Yesking STC - 287hg/41	to total to viole are when
		22.0			2.6	*	in lipid rick for	scored on sealed described
							acherocents.	under material and methods.
								Total score = -1.5,
								Indeterminate lipid risk.
7000		9	8		31.0	2.3	LDLAIDL gatio = 2.7	Total space = 0
	X	0.03	26.0	138.0		2	resting orc = 225 mg/kl	Induternal nature Manda Flack
		3	98		o, g	**	Waterate or berderline	
							ligid risk for ethere-	
		0.6	25.0	122.0	2.5	7:7	LOLANDL FOR SO = 2.1	Notal score = +1
	**	212.0	0,03	194.0		1.1	Pasting STC = 219 mg/81	Increased lipid risk. on
		3	8	16.0	8		Low light risk (less prome for etheresciero- sis related complications)	short term feeding.
		0.23	20.0	8.0	26.0	2.5	LOLAND. ratio = 2.5	
44 10	7 2	3	6.0			2.5	Parting STC - 162 mg/81	Mon lipid risk for schere
		8,0	9,92			2.9	Law Madd wink.	

2 2 2 2 2 2	H			-					
2 2 2 2 2 2	3	*	9.68	86.0	120.0	9.0	2:2	LOLANDL retto - 2.2	Total score = +2
2 2 2 2 2		H	9.5	9.5	130,0	6.2	2.7	Pasting STC = 218 mg/dl	Inference - high risk
2 2 2 2 2		Ħ		9,3	0.021	2.5	7.7	toe lapta risk.	on feeding HCFD.
2 2 2 2 2	3	M		3		26.0	2	Lor Amir secto - 2.2	Total acore 0.5
2 2 2 2 2		Ħ		33	9.513	200	0.7	Feeting STC = 176 mg/61	Informace - Indetermi-
2 2 2 2 2		3	3	3	3	3	3	Law Mipdd risk for athorogenesis.	agte lipid risk.
2 2 2 2 2	*	*		3		3	***	LOLANDL Section 2.4	Total score = 1.5
2 2 2 2		Ħ		8	9.	9	8	Pasting STC - 178 mg/41	Indeperminante lipid
2 2 2 2		Ħ		3	97	9	3	the Made rick.	rick .
2 2 2 2	å	*	8	3	117.0	3.5	3	LOLANDI. Sectio = 2.05	Total score = + 1.5
2 2 2		H		3	9	3	8.8	Peating STC = 309 mg/83	nigh lipid risk.
2 2 2		1	i	3	8	2	3	tow Myid risk. for atherogenesis.	
9 	d	*		\$	3	30.0	2.3	LOLATOL TAKES - 2.2	Total score = + 2
2 2		Ħ		3	133.0	32.0	2,66	Pesting STC - 185 mg/41	nigh lipid risk .
2 2		H	3	3	20.40	80.0	2.6	Low lipid risk for atherogenesis.	
2 2	3	H	18.5	58.0	92.0	24.4	1.6	LOLANDL ratio = 1.6	Total score = 0
Ę		H	230.0	2.2	110.0	22.0	17.03	Festing STC = 186 mg/81	Indeterminate lipid risk
Ę		ä	3	2.0	8	33.4	9.75	Low Mydd risk for atheropensis.	for atherogenesis.
Į.	7	H	582.0	42.0	116.0	23.6	2,76	LDL/MDL ratio = 2.76	Total score = +0.5
rrr 190.0 46.0 118.0 25.6 2.56 Low Mald risk coos.		H	210.0	8.8	9.53	3	2.68	Pasting STC = 182 mg/dl	Indeterminate lipid
		H	88.	45.0	118,0	2.2	2.2	tow Mipld risk case.	

						-		
3	M	9	39.0	133.0	07.03	# 7	LULANDL FREIS - 3.41	Total score .
	H	0.4	3	116.0	31.2	2	Pasting STC = 204 mg/dl	is protected against
	H		3	139.0	***	3.8	genic complication.	5
đ	H		9.33	8	7	S.	this - 2.27	Total score - 2.5
	H		3	8	9.3	3	Pasting 87C = 156 mg/41	Commania. Protocted came
	8	3	3	3	2	3	tow Maple wisk.	egainst otheropenic complications.
3	H						2	Total #00# + 0.5
	Ħ	3	2.0		33.2		Parties of a 18 move.	for atheonesis Lynn Kink
	8	0.00 0.00 0.00 III	3		3		susceptible for athere-	
4	H		6.48		25.2		LOLANDL Setio - 0.83	Total score = 0.5
	Ħ	3	3		7	0.72	Pasting STC = 146 mg/dl.	Indeterminate lipid risk
	Ħ	2.83 8.30 8.30 8.30 8.30 8.30 8.30 8.30 8	6.5	3	2.5	2	ton stak.	for atherogenesis.
3	**	To.	8,0	S. X	9.6	833	Bon.Amt. reatio - 1.95	Total score - + 2,5
	Ħ	2.6.0	\$ 5.5	3	25.2	1.68	Parting STC = 166 mg/di	High risk for attoro-
	H		42.0	9	22.0	25.52) June	genesis.
53	*	226.0	8.0	144.0	9	2	421	Total score = +1
	H	20.0	6.00	130,0	22.0	3.25	perting one attention	Migh risk on feeding.
	111	216.0	44.0	138.0	M.6	3.13	7	

																					61		
	Total secre	Protected state.		Total score = +0,5,00 ST			Scoring. Incorporations	Total scere . 0(sTF).	STP. Total score - +6	High risk on 177.		Total score . + 1.5	Mich lipid risk for	atherogenesis	Total risk = -2.5	Protected state.		Total score = 0	Minds with for	atheropeneois.	Total secre = -2	Protected . Low Mindd	Alex tor menerogenesses
	LOLAND. Ratio . 1.46	Pasting STC - 180 mg/41	tow risk.		Fasting STC = 230 mg/al.	for developing athere-	scierotic disorders)	tou Ami. zatio - 1.00	(Serderline linds rich	for atherogenie	complications).	LULANDL ratto . 3.26	Marting STC = 280 mg/dl	etherogente complication.	int/hot setto - 2	1	Fasting STC # 200 mg/dl.	LOLADL Fatto - 1.62	Town linds risk for	atherogenesis.	LDL/HDL ratto - 2.7	Testing of a dis moral	atheregenests.
	1,46	2.03	1,13	4.55	4.30	4.45	8	1.00	1.22	1,68	2.57	3.20	3	3.03	2.0	1.66	1.74	1.62	1.03	1.66	2.3	2.5	2.76
•	31.6	7.0	32.0	35.2	20.0	2.5	3.6.4	42.0	200	41.6	0.00	89.2	**	36.0	31.2	32,6	33.2	72.2	31.2	28.0	32.4	33,6	2,0
	0.89	2:5	9	164.0	172.0	170.0	193.0	9761	8	0.58	24.0	9	102.0	276.0	132,0	0°78	108,0	2.5	68.0	100.0	138.0	102.0	162.0
	3.8	6.9	60.09	26.0	46.0	40.0	\$ 0.0	3	76.0	76.0	3	3	8	6.8	3	0.23	62.0	8,8	66.0	60.09	8	3	8.0
	100,0	0.93	160,0	8. 1 286.0	0.00	2.3		0748				8		3.5	260.0		200.0	180.0	166.0	9.68	210.0	200,0	236.0
	**	ä	H	*	H	H	R	M	H	H	B		Ħ	Ħ	M	H	H	*	H	===	H	H	H
-	i			i				ť				ż			i			ż			ż		

### 190.0 60.0 190.0 195.6 2.30 LEM./NDL ratio w 2.20 Total score w 41									
101,400, rento = 1.03 101,400, rento = 1.03 101,400, rento = 1.03 101,400, rento = 1.03 101,400, rento = 2.3 101,400, rento = 2.30 101,400, r	ġ	H	190.0	0.3	2,38	35.6	2:30	6	Total score = +1
LOS etherogenesis. for etherogenesis. LOS PORTING STC = 103 Total score = 0 LOS PORTING STC = 103 MOVAL Mappedictable Hydd LOS Etherogenesis. LOS ANDL Fonto = 2.5 LOS ANDL Fonto = 2.2 LOS ANDL		H	9	\$.0	117.0	36.8	7.	STC = 190	Indeterminate light stat
1.05 101./HD1 reato = 1.03 Total secte = 0 Feeting STC = 162 mg/d1 Etherogenesis. 1.05 Etherogenesis. 1.05 Marting STC = 194 mg/d1 Etherogenesis. 1.05 Marting STC = 194 mg/d1 Etherogenesis. 1.06 Marting STC = 1.03 Etherogenesis. 1.17 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.18 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.19 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.10 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.11 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.12 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.13 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.14 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.15 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.16 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.17 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.18 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.19 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.10 Marting STC = 1.05 Etherogenesis. 1.10 Marting STC = 1.04 Etherogenesis. 1.10 Marting		H	0.00	8	113.0	36.4	3,64	atherogenesis.	for atherogenesis.
LOS Partino STC = 162 mo/d: Unpredictable Highd schools achorogenesis. LOS Martino STC = 194.mo/d: High risk on STP Each properties for atherogenesis. LOS Partino STC = 194.mo/d: High risk on STP Each properties for atherogenesis at the STC Each properties of STP Each properties for atherogenesis risk. LOS Partino STC = 114 mo/d: Unpredictable risk. LOS Martino STC = 178 mg/d: High risk.	á	M		3	0.38	***	27	LOLANDL reads = 1.03	Total sears . 0
		Ħ	Ê		6	20.0		100	Unpredictable lipid risk
Line Annual State of the may all thinks and the second of the second of the may all thinks are also as a second of the second of		Ħ		9		8.0	1.88		for atherogenesis.
The first four living with the first constraint of the first constraint of the first constraint of the first constraint c	*	*		3		***			10tal score = + 2.5
LANS Extendition Mapped related LANS COMMUNICATION 2.23 LANS COMMUNIC		Ħ		3		3		The section and a section and	High risk on STP
Los Montano STC = 170 moves March 1980 Los Montano STC = 170 moves March 1980 Los Montano STC = 170 moves Los Moves STC		Ħ		8.0		0.5% X.0			
Los Martino STC attached Trace. Los Martino STC a 176 mg/d; STC a 176 mg/d; Mich Elsk. Los Martino STC a 176 mg/d; Mich Elsk	i	•		3		2		Section 2	Total score = 0
1.00 prome for etherogomaic risk. 1.14 IDL/ND1 presses 1.44 mg/d. Umpressiontable strong some 1.45 mg/d. Umpressiontable strong some 1.40 mg/d. Umpressiontable strong some 2.7 mg/d. Utoh risk. 2.16 IDL/ND1 ratio 2.7 mg/d. Utoh risk. 2.16 IDL/ND1 ratio 2.20 mg/d. Utoh risk. 2.17 IDL/ND1 ratio 2.20 mg/d. Utoh risk. 2.18 IDL/ND1 ratio 2.20 mg/d. Utoh lipid risk. 2.19 Presting STC = 2.20 mg/d. Utoh lipid risk. 2.10 Mg/d. ratio 2.20 mg/d. Utoh lipid risk. 2.10 Mg/d. risk.		Ħ		3	9783	3			
1.16 LOL/MDL Emitto . 1.14 Total risk . 1.17 Franking STC . 100 mg/dl Umpredictable 1.09 prome for etherogenesis. 1.34 Franting STC . 176 mg/dl High risk. 2.35 Low libid risk. 2.36 Low libid risk. 2.37 Total score 2.38 Low libid risk. 2.40 mederate STC . 2.30 mg/dl High risk. 2.50 mg/dl STC . 2.30 mg/dl High risk. 2.60 mederate libid risk for school libid risk. 2.60 mederate libid risk for school libid risk.		Ħ		8		8	8		3
LOS Montes off with 1400 atherogenosis. LOS MONTES Estin 2.7 Total moore 1.36 Feeting off with 110th with. LOS Montes off 170 mg/dl High with 1500 mg/dl with	Z	H	3	3	3	24.0	1,14	1	
2.30 LOLANDL Eatla = 2.7 Total score = 1.84 Tasting STC = 176 mg/dl High risk. 2.30 Low lipid risk. 2.3 Low lipid risk. 2.4 Loland STC = 2.20 Total score = 2.60 mg/dl High risk. 2.5 Low lipid risk. 2.6 Pacting STC = 230 mg/dl High risk score = 2.60		#	3		13.0	7.9%	1.17	Pasting STC = 144 mg/dl	
2.30 LOLARDL ratio = 2.7 Total score = 1.34 Fasting STC = 176 mg/dl High risk. 2.35 Low lipid risk. 2.5 Lola/Hol ratio = 2.20 Total score = 7.05 mg/dl High risk ser mederate lipid risk for migh lipid risk for stheorogenic complications		H		\$4.0	ĝ	36.0	1.00	for atheroges	
2.36 Low lipid risk. 2.3 Low lipid risk. 2.2 Lol./Hol. ratio = 2.20 2.62 Pesting STC = 230 mg/dl. 2.62 Pesting STC = 230 mg/dl. 2.63 pesting STC = 230 mg/dl. 3.06 atherogenic complications	5	M		3	100.0	30.0	2.1	LDLANDL Rotto = 2.7	*
2.3 Low lipid risk. 2.2 Low lipid risk. 2.62 Pesting STC = 230 mg/41. 2.63 moderate lipid risk for atherrogenic complications		Ħ	182.0	82.0	96.0	33,0	1.2	Fasting STC = 176 mg/dl	High risk.
2.2 LDL/HDL ratio = 2.20 2.62 Pasting STC = 230 mg/41. 3.64 scheregenic complications	1.21	=======================================	200.0	8.0	119.0	30.8		Low light risk.	
3.62 Pasting STC = 230 mg/el. 3.64 maderate lipid risk for 3.84 etherogenic complications	Ž	H	230.0	8.0	132.0	37.6	2.2	- 2.20	Total score . + 2.5
2.86 otherogenic co		H	246.0	8.0	152.0	38.6	2.63	a Madd rick	
		H	226.0	90.0	142.0	34.0	2	oute co	

H				9	9	-		
ż	7	20.0	\$10.00	136.0	0.3%	2.48	Inl/Hol ratio = 2.48	Total scope = +0.5
	H	0.62	28.0	136.0	37.2	2,51	Pasting STC = 210 mg/dl	Indeterminate lipid
	111	2006.0	8	117.0	**	2.34	ion lipid risk.	risk.
\$	H		3		0.5	2	LOLAND. cotto = 1.25	Tetal score = +4
	Ħ		98.0	8	2	1.42	Pasting STC = 173 mg/dl.	THE RESTRICTED IN
	ä	270.0	8	9	3	*	atherogenic complication	
ġ	H		8	3	0,0%	1.4	LOL/MOL RATIO . 42.4	Total score = +3.5.
	Ħ						Pesting STC = 182 mg/81	Management of the final
	H	226.0	0.5	0.081	***	27	Low Mydd wink.	
\$	H	3	8.0	9	27.6	2.04	INCAME Retto = 2.84	Total score = -4.5
	H	9.85	9.8	3	88.3	2.0	Festing STC = 188 mg/dl.	Protected individual
	Ħ		95.0	124.0	\$	2,21	Low lipid risk case.	50 STF.
\$	*		6.9	90.0	32.2	1.95	LUL/HDL retto = 1.95	Total score = -4.5
	#	200	58.0	3	2.5	8.7	Pasting SW: = 148 mg/41	Protested from
	1	III 156.0 56.0 66.0 32.0	3	0.3	22.0	3	Low light risk for atherogenesis.	atherogenic risk.
1	H	366.0	40.0	132,0	36.0	7.7	London ratio = 3.3	Total scare = + 5.5
	Ħ		33.0	165.0	39.2		Fasting STC = 208 mg/41.	High lipid risk on STF
	H	210.0	36.0	144.0	30.0	4.0	Borderline lipid risk.	
\$	M	172.0	25.0	104.0	32.0	2.60	LDL/HDL retto = 2.88	Total score = - 0.5
	11	38	28.0	132.0	35.3	7.7	Posting STC = 172 mg/dl.	Indeterminate lipid
	H		22.0	133.0	34.4	3.5	tow lipid risk.	Fisk.

	emblects had low risk for a therogenic		37 (77. 00 ×)	ing the breat li	# \$ B		111	
	Low Migda risk.	2:56	22.3	162,0	\$6,0	230.0	H	
	Fasting STC - 202 mg/61.	2.03	31.6	130.4	2	226.0		
Total somes - 4.5	LOLADL Fatte - 1.9	1.90	 	114.0	60.0	8	H	60
	lipid risk for athere-	3.28	26.0	164.0	30.0	240.0	H	
	appearing or moderate	2.71	27.5	152.0	\$6.0	236.0	H	
Total score 2.5	LDL/HDL rette = 3.73	3.73	30.0	142.0	38.0	210.0	H	3
	atherogenic complication. Fisk.	1.51	33.2	106.0	70.0	210.0	III	
	1dises	1.83	33.6	132.0	72.0	238.0	H	
Total score = 10.5	LDL/HSL ratio = 3.08	2.06	30.4	125.0	60.0	216.0	н	\$
						u	-	H

If criteria of protection is taken as - 4 or less than that the scale become indeperminate risk after 15 days feeding (the same inference was drawn on STF), who other showed high risk on LTF (the STF in this case showed as unpredictable risk). long term feeding (LTY) was carried out in 2 subjects. sensitive and yields the result as follows :

^{2 (4.16%)} subjects
2 (4.16%) subjects Protected State. High Flok. 44(91.6%) subjects = Indeterminate of unpredictable response

DISCUSSION

Present study was taken to explore the possibilities of improving and refining the existing cholesterol tolerance test. Different distary articles were used in search of an effective and practical cholesterol tolerance test.

I. SPIECT OF DIFFERENT AMOUNTS OF BUTTER AN LUPID LIPOPROJE IN PROPILE

The mean fasting STC value in 2 subgroups (protocol 1 and 2) were 169.1±24.1 mg/d1 and 185.6±33.9 mg/d1 respectively. This was within the normal limits as set my lipid research clinics. In protocol 1 STC started rising in most of the subjects and peaked at 2 hours. The difference from fasting levels was statistically significant. A similar response was seen on protocol 2, but here the rise at 2 hours was not statistically significant.

The two critical aspects of this rise were - a relatively early peak observed at just 2 hours and secondly the huge quantum of change in STC. The early peak can be explained by the presence of a liquid vehicle (milk) which facilitated rapid mobilisation of cholesterol fat diet from stomach to gut as the subjects were in fasting stage. Earlier workers (Collem et al. 1969) Stewart, 1954) also reported immediate post prendial

response in adults, in the form of rise at 2 hour, but the workers had used a large amount of cholesterol 5 g and 3.5 g of cholesterol respectively. What causes such a rapid absorption is not clear and other workers hav have also not explained this.

The huge quantum of rise in STC cannot be explained on the basis of existing literature. The rise in mean STC was 27 mg%. This huge rise is tentament to more than 880 mg cholesterol in absolute terms $(27 \times 10 \times 3 = 810 \text{ mg})$, an amount that is much more than the cholesterol eaten.

We propose that dietary cholesterol fat stimulus provokes mobilisation of cholesterol from difféent
stores (subintimal pool, macrophages) and is responsible
for transient huge rise in STC. Purther studies on
larger sample sizes are meeded to confirm and emplain
this observation.

heen observed in protocol 2 when leaser amount of butter was given. This finding is consistant with the studies of other workers, who have shown that changes in serum cholesterol have linear relation with dietary cholesterol (Keys, 1986; Hegsted, 1986).

The subjects in protocol 1 showed a significent rise of LDL. The rise in LDL is consistent with

the studies of other workers (Applebeum-Bowden et al, 1984) who have shown that when large amount of dietary cholesterol fat load is given the STC increased with most of the increase occurring in LDL subfraction. HDL rise was not significant in this study. Changes in triglyceride levels were also insignificant despite a huge fat load. In protocol 2, no lipid lipoprotein parameters showed any significant change. Thus on the basis of our study it can be suggested that 100 g butter diet is an important loading distary item to elicit significant changes in lipid lipoprotein profile and can be used as cholesterol fat load in cholesterol fat tolerance test. The problem in identifying abnormal response after such a load is there, we propose that quantum of rise in STC is proportional to degree of risk in an individual. On civing butter load the greater is the rise the more is the risk for atherogenic complications, because more cholesterol is released from large stores in such individuals. This hypothesis needs to be confirmed after studies on large segment of our population and more specifically by prospective studies.

ON LIPID LIPOPROTEIN PROFILE

Graded amounts of eggs (1 to 6) were given to healthy subjects in this study, when single egg was given to the 8 subjects, 75% of them showed a significant fall clear, but we think that LDL receptor mechanism is responsible for this. According to Joseph et al (1982), after an overnight fast, there occurs suppression of LDL receptors. We propose that when cholesterol fat load is given after an overnight fast these receptors are stimulated in anticipation of the cholesterol load that will enter the circulation.

cular compartment shifts into the subendothelial pool resulting in an acute fall of LDL and STC at 1 hour. The cholesterol level slowly increase after 3 hours as a result of the absorbed cholesterol and the reverse movement of LDL that had entered the circulation earlier. The significant fall in LDL at first hour in this group of subjects, favours the working of this mechanism.

The rise of STC after feeding may be explained again on the basis of LDL receptor mechanism. In these subjects, these receptors are saturated probably because of large amount of cholesterol store in the vessel wall and elsewhere and are not stimulated by dietary cholesterol. Thus STC in these subjects increases at one hour because of absorbed dietary cholesterol. The STC and HDL were virtually unaffected in this group of persons. Using different protocols varying quantities of eggs (2,3,4 and 6) were given. STC showed a variable

response. Out of 8 subjects who were subjected to different amount of egg cholesterol 4 showed a rise at 1 hour while remaining 4 showed a fall. The rise and fall was within 5-8.5% of basal. The variability in response has also been observed by many other workers but on long term feeding (Flynn et al, 1979; Sacks, 1983). Why this wariability of response occurs with egg cholesterol and not with butter cholesterol and other dietary cholesterol remains unanswered. This also poses the problem of identification of normal response (rise or fall) as all the subjects were healthy. Arora et al (1989) identified fall of STC and LDL at 1 hour as normal response as it occurred in majority of their subjects and offered above mechanism for such categorisation. In our series the pattern of rise and fall was seen in all most equal number of cases. The second important aspect of our study has been the observation that on increasing egg cholesterol dose, the magnitude of change is little effected. This is in accordance with the accepted fact that the amount of cholesterol absorbed is proportional to the amount eaten below the dietary cholosterol content of 500 mg. above this level dietary cholesterol has little effect on serum cholesterol (A report to the congress pursuent to the PSA of 1985, PL 99-198, B, 1453).

The other paremeters in the study did not show consistent pattern of changes, however, in most of the subjects changes in LOL were parallel to changes in STC. A loading dose of one (or at the most 2 eggs) would have been an effective stimulus for changes in lipid profile in the earlier proposed test.

ITEMS ON SERUM LIPID LIPOPROTEIN PROFILE

There were little changes in different lipoprotein parameters with miscellaneous dietary items except when 'deshi chee was used. In the latter case rise in STC, HDL, LDL and STG were seen. The deshi ghee contains very little cholesterol (60 mg/100 g) with basically fat rich in saturated fatty acids. Thus changes in lipoprotein profile at second postprandial hour by giving just 50 g of ghee are not explainable, specially the rise is serus total cholestero. We propose that distary fats (or probably dist itself, as other non cholesterol foed articles had also caused some change) evoke some neuronal or hermonal stimuli which set changes in lipid lipoprotein profile. Chenoweth (1982), Oh et al (1985) and Reggiani et al (1984) studied the effect of dictary fat on serum cholesterol and other lipoprotein fractions. The diet contained small amount of cholesterol. The feeding was conducted over a period of minimum 4 weeks. These workers also reported significant rise in serum cholesterel with little change in other lipid lipeprotein parameters. Little changes were observed when non cholesterol fatty diets or even

cholesterel fat free diet (Glucose) were used. This may be because of our method being less sensitive (Precipitation method) or stimulation of diet as such bringing about these changes. Carell et al (1983) also showed changes in lipid lipoprotein profile with other dietary items.

EFFECT OF CRYSTALLINE CHOLESTEROL ON SERUM LIPID LIPOPROTEIN PROFILE

When I g crystalline cholesterol was given to 8 subjects there was small but significant rise in serum cholesterol in most of the subjects. This has also been shown by some other workers on long term basis (Conner, et al (1961) showed that crystalline cholesterel is slowly and incompletely absorbed. This may be partially responsible for small rise in STC (incomparison to butter cholesterol induced changes). In this study. while some subjects showed a rise of 725 mg/dl other showed a smaller rise or even slight fall. The variability in response on cholesterol feeding we has well been documented by several workers (Oh and Miller, 1985; Katan et al. 1986). The latter worker classified subjects on basis of their response to cholesteral fat load as hyper and hype-responders. The rise in STC was mainly because of rise in LDL. Triglyceride level also showed significant rise, which is not explainable and needs to be confirmed by further trials.

EFFECT OF PROLONSED PREDING

of 2 eggs and 200 ml of milk for 15 days. This diet providing about 600 mg of cholesterol was not being taken by them previously. STC and other lipoprotein parameters showed little (insignificant) change after 15 days of feeding. This finding was consistent with the work of Glynn et al (1979), who showed that modification of dietary cholesterol by including or excluding eggs in diet does not significant alter STC level even after feeding for 6 weeks. Some other workers (Chenoweth et al, 1982, Schvenfeld et al, 1982) showed significant increment in STC and LDL level after prolonged feeding, but cholesterol amount, they used were huge (71000 mg/day).

REPRODUCIBILITY OF FEEDING BEHAVIOUR

of our subjects after a gap of 15 days and were able to show 'preserved qualitative changes one of our subject who showed fall in STC and LDL at one hour after receiving high fat cholesterol diet duplicated the behaviour after 15 days, though the absolute values were considerably different on latter occasion. In the second subject, when the response was retested it was found to be reproducible.

Regroducibility of response after short or long

term feeding has always been a debetable point. Beynen and Katan (1985) showed the reproducibility of response after 3 years, while some other workers (Dawber et al, 1982) were unable to reproduce the responses, Probably further studies on large number of volunteers with more advanced method of lipoprotein estimation should be employed to have a definite enswer.

CHOLESTEROL PAT TOLERANCE TEST : NEED FOR IMPROVEMENT AND REFINEMENT

The concept of cholesterol fat tolerance test is a very complex one. The present study has definitely pointed towards some of the flaws in existing test devised by Arora et al (1989).

- LOL at first postprandial hour after feeding of HCFB is seen in about helf of the normal healthy volunteers who received egg diet. So labelling this response as normal and other responses (rise or no change) as abnormal is not justified. This variability in response is a normal phenomena, pointed out by various other workers (wide supra). Immediate effect of HCFB (i.e. single point HCFB feeding, as a determinate of individual risk does not seem justified because of variations among individuals in responsiveness to dietary cholesterol.
 - 2. In the present study when 2 individuals who were earlier subjected to a single dose HCFB when

later given a prolonged (15 days) feeding showed virtually no effect in lipeprotein parameters. This further strengthens the view that single point feeding induced responses are normal variation rather than specific risky or non risky responses.

- 3. Subjects who were given butter and crystalline cholesterel showed consistent rise rather than wariability in responses. This suggests that there is some substance in egg yolk which is responsible for this variability.
- 4. Non cholesterol dietary items also elicit some although little changes in STC and other lipoprotein parameters. This fact further questions the validity of such a test.

On the basis of our study we recommend following changes in a prospective cholesterol fat tolerance test.

- 1. As large segment of our population consists of vegetarians. Further study is needed with butter cholesterol and crystalline cholesterol. Our hypothesis that degree of rise in STC and LDL is proportional to risk of atherogenesis needs to be verified by prospective studies.
- 2. The study with egg cholesterol should have more wide coverage. Graded amount of eggs should be given to same individual and the responses each

showed a consistent pattern of response on butter cholesterol should be given egg cholesterol and vice versa. The subjects who are presumed to be at higher risk by convention cholesterol telerance test (i.e. rise of STC and LDL at one hour) should undergo a prolonged feeding for example 2 weeks and the changes in them should show an unfavourable lipid lipoprotein profile after this feeding.

SUMMARY AND CONCLESSOR

数

2000

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The present work was carried out in 31 male and 17 female healthy volunteers of 15-60 years of age. They were subjected to different types of dietary leads (Single dose) in search of an effective, practically feasible and simple cholesterol tolerance test.

of 100 g butter based diet. Postprandial significant rise in levels of STC, MDL and LDL were observed in 90% of the subjects at 2nd hour. STG level did not show significant change. Values returned to near basel level at 5th postprandial hour. Five subjects who were given a test diet based on 50 g butter, showed rise in all parameters but the changes were insignificant.

yolk used as dietary test load. In eight subjects who were given a single han egg (boiled) STC, and LDL fell at first postprandial hour in 75% of subjects. This fall was statistically significant. Other parameters showed ineignificant changes. In 25% of cases all parameters increased insignificantly when increasing amount of egg cholesterel (from 2-6 eggs) were given to another eight subjects, there was marked variability in the response, while half of them showed rise of STC and LDL at first postprandial hour, remaining half showed fall. The changes were statistically not significant.

Eight subjects were fed miscellaneous dietary articles vis. egg albumin, 75 g of glucose, 50 g ghee.

50 g saffols oil and 50 ml of alcohol. The changes in lipid lipoprotein profile were less marked except in case of pure ghee where STC and STC showed great quantum of increase. Other dietary articles also showed changes in the form of rise, though the quantum of rise was not great.

In a fourth group of subjects, crystalline cholesterol (1 g) dissolved in 200 ml of milk was given. In most of the subjects (75%) there was rise in STC, STG and NOL (statistically significant) while HDL was insignificant increased, 25% of cases showed fall at first hour postprendially.

Two subjects were subjected to prolonged fording (2 weeks). The changes in lipid lipoprotein profile were not significant. Reproducibility of test was also assessed in these subjects. The feeding behaviour was found to be reproducible qualitatively.

The following conclusions were drawn from the present study.

- 100 g butter based diet produced significant changes in lipid lipoprotein profile in most of the subjects. This change was in the form of rise.
- The rise was more marked in subjects having comparatively law STC level.

- The changes in lipid lipoprotain profile were less marked and insignificant when 50 g butter diet was given.
- the egg cholesterol induced changes were found to be highly variable contrast to butter cholesterol. Single egg feeding produced fall in STC and LDL in majority of subjects while increasing egg cholesterol disturbed this consistency and produced variability of the responses.
- 5. Changes in lipid lipoprotein profile were little influenced by amount of egg yolk cholesterol in test dist i.e. on increasing egg yolk cholesterol (2 to 6), changes in lipid lipoprotein profile showed same quantum of changes as with one egg.
- Subjects who had comparatively higher basel STC showed little change after feeding while those with normal STC level showed more marked changes (rise or fall) after feeding.
- hour, it started falling from very begining, same was true for rise of STC after feeding test dist.
- the egg yolk induced changes in lipid lipoprotein profile were not very marked excluding few individual cases.
- . Non cholesterol fetty articles saffole oil and egg albumin elicited very little variable changes

- in lipid profile. In the same way cholesterol fat free articles alcohol, glucose etc. elicited similar little variable postprandial changes.
- Changes induced by ghee were more marked with greater quantum of changes in STC, HDL and STG.
- 11. Crystalline cholesterol induced significant changes in lipid lipepretein profile. Nest of the subjects showed rise. The rise in different parameters was variable while some individuals responding with greater quantum of changes, other showed minor changes.
- 12. Prienged feeding with 2 eggs and 250 ml of milk induced no change in limit lipoprotein profile.
- 13. Reproducibility of feeding behaviour was well elicited in 2 of the subjects after 15 days of initial test.
- 14. Individual distary risk assessment on the basis of an arbitrary scale showed an indeterminate and unpredictable risk in about half of the cases.

 This unpredictability of risk assessment was increased when egg yolk and non cholesterol fatty articles are given.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Abraham S, Carroll MD: Pets, cholesterol and sedium intake in the diet of persons 1-74 years: Inited States. Advance data vital Health State, 54:1-9;1981.
- 2. Applebaum Bowden D, Haffner SM, Hartsook E, Lak KH, Albers JJ, Haszard MR: Down regulation of low density lipoprotein receptor by dietary cholesters. Am J Clin Matr, 39: 360-367; 1984.
- Albrink MJ, Man EB : Effect of carbohydrate ingestion on post prandial lipemia. Clin Res Proc. 4:121; 1956.
- 4. Aroga RC, Agerwel N, Arora S, Carg RK, Gupta G: High fat and cholesterol diet induced changes in plasme cholesterol and lipoprotein in healthy human volunteers. J.A.P.I. 35: 774-775; 1987.
- 5. Arera RC, Agerwal N, Arera S, Mehre V, Gerg RK : Triglyceride telerance test : is it feasible. Materia Medica Polona, 19 : 80-89; 1987.
- 6. Arera RC, Agarwal, N, Arera S, Mangal R: The evaluation of the changes in light lipoprotein prefile induced after ingestion of single high cholesteral test diet. Thesis for M.D. (Medicine), 1989.
- 7. Barrit DH : Alimentary lipemia in mem with coronary artery disease and in controls. Brit Med J. 2 : 640; 1956.

- Jacotot B: Effect of three dietary fats on plasma lipids and lipeproteins in fasting and postprendial human after a short term diet. Lipids 15(4): 216-223, 1981.
- 9. Beyonn AC, Katan MB: Reproducibility of the variations between humans in the response of sarum cholesterol to cessation of egg consumption. Atherosclerosis. 57: 19-21: 1985.
- 10. Beynen AC, Katan MB : Inter-individual variation in the cholesterolemic response to distary cholesterol. Proc Clin Biol Res. 186 : 195-207, 1985 C.
- 11. Buskerd IM, Mc Roberts MR, Driscoll DL, Bowering J:

 Effect of dietary eggs and ascerbic acid on plasma
 lipid and lipoprotein cholesterol levels in healthy
 young men. Am J Clin Matr., 36 : 94-105; 1982.
- 12. Carrell KK : Hypercholesterolemia and atheresiceresis : Effects of dietary protein. Fed. Proc. 41 : 2792; 1982.
- 13. Chemoweth W. Wilmann M. Simpson R. Leveille G:
 Influence of distary cholesterol and fat on serum
 lipids in man. J Wutr. 111: 2069-2060; 1981.
- 14. Carlson LA, and Ericsson M : Quantitative and qualitative serum lipoprotein analysis in healthy men and women. Atherosclerosis, 21 : 417-433;1975.

- 15. Castelli MP, Doyle JT, Gordon T et al : Alcohol and blood lipids. The co-operative lipoprotein pheno-typing study. Lancet, 2 : 153-155; 1977.
- 16. Conners WE, Hodge RE and Bleiler RE: Serum lipids in men receiving high cholesterol and cholesterol free dist. J Clin Invest, 40: 894; 1961.
- 17. Damber TR, Nickerson RJ, Brand YM, Pool J : Rogs.
 serum cholesterol and coronary heart disease.
 Am J Clin Nutz, 36 : 617-625; 1982.
- 18. Fredrickson DS, Coldstein JL and Brown MS : The familial hyperlipoproteinemias : The metabolic basis, 1978.
- 19. Predrickson DS: A physician guide to hyperlipidemia. Mod Concepts Cardiovasc Dis, 41: 31; 1972.
- 20. Flynn MA, Helph GB, Flynn TC, Kehrs R, Krause C s

 Effect of dietary egg on human serum cholesterol and

 triglyceridae. Am J Clin Mutr, 32 : 1051-1057;1979.
- 21. Ginsberg H, Le H-A, Mays C, Gibson J, Brown HV:

 lipoprotein metabolism in non responders to increased
 dietary cholesterol. Arteriosclerosis, 1:463-70,1981.
- 22. Coldstein JL and Brown MS : Atherosclerosis : The low density lipoprotein receptor hypothesis.

 Metabolism, 26 : 1257-1275; 1977.
- 23. Coldstein JL, Brown MS : Binding and degradation of low density lipoprotein by cultured human fibroblasts.

 J Biol Chem, 249 : 5153; 1974.

- 24. Gordon T, Castelli MP, Hjorland MC et al : High density lipoprotein as a protective factor against coronary heart disease. The Premingham study.

 Am J Hed, 62 : 707; 1977.
- 25. Gordon T, Kannel WB, Castelli WB, Damber TR : The lipeproteins, cardiovascular disease and death. The Framingham study. Arch Intern Med, 141 : 1128;1981.
- 26. Hanno Krauss, Pieter Groot, Even van Ram Sherst et als Chylomieros metabolism in coronary atheroselerosis. Circulation Supp. Part II, 76(4) : 1987.
- 27. Hepsted DM : Serum cholesterol response to dietary cholesterol : a re-evaluation. Am J Clin Nutr, 44 : 399-305; 1986.
- 26. Heiss C, Temir I, Davis CE et al : Lipoprotein cholesterol distributions in selected North American populations. The lipid research clinics program provelence study. Circulation, 61 : 302-315; 1962.
- 29. James P, Sulliven : The effect of protein ingestion on alimentary lipomis. Am J Med Sci, 243:770; 1962.
- 30. Jacobe DR Jr. Anderson Jr. Hennen P. Keys A. Blackburn H : Variability in individual serum cholestorol response to change in dist. Arteriosclerosis, 3 : 349-356; 1983.
- 31. Kannel WB, Castelli WP, Gordon ? : Cholesterol in the prediction of atheresclaratic disease. Ann Intern Med. 90 : 85: 1979.

- 32. Key RM, Ree S, Armett C et al : Aqute effects of the pattern of fat ingestion on plasma HDL components in man. Atherosclerosis, 36 : 567-573; 1980.
- 33. Ketan MB, Baynem AC, Devries JHM, Nobels A : Existence of consistent hypo and hyperresponders to distary cholesterol in man. Am J Epidemiol, 123:221-234:1986.
- 34. Keys A : Serum cholesterol response to dictory cholesterol. Am J Clin Mutr, 40 : 351-359; 1984.
- 35. Keys A : Coronary heart disease, serum cholesterol, and the dist. Acta Had Seand, 207 : 153-160;1980.
- 36. Knuiman JT, west CE: The concentration of cholesterol in serum and in various serum lipoproteins in macrobiotic vegetarian and non vegetarian men and boys. Atherosclerosis, 43: 71; 1982.
- 37. Kritchevsky D and Tepper SA : Influence of medium chain triglycerides on cholesterol metabolism in rats. J Nutr. 86 : 67-72; 1968.
- 38. Liebman M. Bassarre TL : Plasma lipids of vegetarian and non vegetarian males : Effects of egg consumption.

 Am J Clin Nutr. 38 : 612-619; 1983.
- 39. Mahley RW, Innerestry TL, Bersot TP, Lipson A;
 Margolis S: Alterations in human high density lipsproteins, with or without increased plasma cholesterol
 induced by dists high in cholesterol. Lancet, 2:
 807-809; 1978.
- 40. Hehley RM, Distary fet, cholesterol and eccelerated atheroscierosis. Atheroscierosis Review, New York,

- 41. Mahley RW : The role of distary fet and cholesterol in atherosclerosis and lipoprotein metabolism.
- 42. Mahley RW and Holcombe KS; Alterations of the please lipoprotein and apoprotein following choles-terol feeding in rate. J Lipids Res, 18:314-24:1977.
- 43. Mr Namara DJ : Diet and hyperlipidemia : A justifiable debate. Arch Intern Med, 42 : 1121-1124; 1982.
- A4. Mistry P, Miller NE, Laker M, Hessard NR, Lewis B:
 Individual variation in the effects of distary cholesterol on plasma lipoprotein and cellular cholesterol
 homostasis in man, studies of low density lipoprotein
 receptor activity and 3-hydroxy 3 methyl glutaryl
 co-enzyme a reductase activity in blood mononuclear
 cells. J Clin Invest, 67: 493-502; 1981.
- 45. Nikkila EA, Konttinen A: Effect of physical activity on postprendial levels of fat in serum. Lancet, 1151: 1962.
- 46. Meetel PJ : Fish eil attenuates the cholesterol induced rise in lipoprotein cholesterol.

 Am J Clin Mutr. 43 : 752-757; 1986.
- 47. Oh SY, Miller LT: Effect of dietary egg on Veriebility of plesma cholesterol levels and lipoprotein cholesterol. Am J Clin Mutr, 42: 421-431; 1965.
- 40. Cliver Mr : Diet and ecronary heart disease. Homen Matr. Clin Matr, 266 : 413-427; 1982.

- 49. Reiser S, Hellpish J, Michadis OP et al : Isocaloric exchange of dietary starch and sucrose in human.

 Am J Clin Nutr, 32 : 1659; 1978.
- 50. Sachs PM, Miller L, Sutherland M, Albers JJ, Saleger J, Poster JM, Samonds KW, Kass EM: Ingestion of egg raises plasma low density lipoproteins in free living subjects. Lancet, 647-649; 1984.
- \$1. Shepherd J, Packerd CJ, Ricker S, Lawrie TOV, Morgon HG : N Engl J Med, 302 : 1219-1222; 1980.
- 52. Slack and Evens: The increased risk of death from ischaemic heart disease in first degree relatives of 121 men and 96 women with ischaemic heart disease.
- 53. Samuel P. He Namara DJ. Shapire J: The role of diet in the etiology and treatment of atheroaclerosis. Ann New Med. 34: 179-194; 1983.
- 54. Shekelle RB, Shryock AM, Peul O, Lepper M, Stamler J, Liu S, Reynor WJ : Diet, serum cholesterol and death from womenry heart disease. The western Electric study. N Eng J Med, 384 : 65-70; 1981.
- 55. Simons LA, Gibeer JC, Palmo C, Hesking M, Bullock J, Trim J: The influence of a wide range of absorbed chalesterol on plasma cholesterol levels in many Am J Clin Nutr, 31: 1334-1339; 1978.
- 56. Sim Clair H. Dietary fate and coronary heart disease. Lancet, i : 414-415; 1980.

- \$7. Stellones RA : Ischemic heart disease and lipids in blood and diet. Annu New Mutr. 3 : 155-185,1983.
- 58. Stein EA, Shapere J. Mc Merney C. Glueck CJ. Tracy
 T. Gart side P: Changes in plasms lipid and lipoprotein fractions after alteration in dietary
 cholesterol, polyumasturated, saturated and total
 fat in free living normal and hypercholesterolemic
 children. Am J Clin Matr. 15: 1375-1390, 1982.
- 59. St Claim RN : Atherosclerosis regression in emimal models, current concepts of callular and biochemical mechanism. Prog Cardiovas. Dis. 26 : 109; 1981.
- 60. TA Borden, Wissler RW, Huges RH : A physiochemical study of the lipoprotein system of the normal and esterogen treated male rate in relation to atherospherosis. J Atherospherosis Res. 4 : 477-496;1964.
- 61. Tan Mi , Dickinson MA, Albers JJ et al : The effect of high cholesterol and saturated fat diet on serum high density lipoprotein cholesterol epoprotein A-1 and apoprotein levels in normalipidamic humans.

 Am J Clin Mutr. 33 : 255; 1980.
- 62. Thompson PD, Jeffery RW, Ming RR et el : Unempected Gecreene in planma high density lipoprotein with weight loss. Am J Clin Mutz. 32 : 2016-2021; 1979.
- 63. Thomas CB : Pamilial patterns in hypertonsion and coronary heart disease. Circulation, 20 : 25,1959.
- 64. Verganic C and Rottale A ; Familial hyposlphs Lipoproteinemia. Clin Chem Acts, 114:45-52; 1981.

- 65. Weidman WH, Elvabeck LR, Helson RA et al : Matrient intake and serum cholesterel levels in normal children 6 to 16 years of age, Pediatrics, 61:354;1978.
- 66. Widholm K, Make E and Zyman I : Effect of diet and emergise upon the cholesterol and cholesterol content of plasma lipoproteins in overweight children.

 Eur J Paedistr, 127 : 121-126; 1978.
- 67. Wilson FE and Lees RS : Metabolic relationship among the plasma lipeproteins. Reciprocal changes in the concentration of very low and low density lipoprotein in man. J Clin Invest, 51 : 1051-1057; 1972.
- 68. Wood PD, Haskell HL, Stern MP et al : Plasma lipoprotein distribution in male and female runner. Ann N.Y. Acad Sci. 301 : 748-763; 1977.
- 69. Walker ARP : Dietary fet intake and serum cholesterol levels in coronary heart disease, S Afr Med J, 58 : 7-12; 1980.
- 70. white EC, Mc Nemare DJ, Ahrens EH Jr : Validatum of dietary record system for the estimation of daily cholesterel intake in individual outpatients.

 Am J Clin Hutz, 34 : 199-203; 1961.
- 71. Wyenbengs DR et el : Clin Chem, 16 : 980; 1970.
- 72. Yamo K, Reed DM, Curb JD, Hankin JH, Albers JJ:

 Biological and dietary correlates of plasma lipids
 and lipoproteins among elderly Japanese men in
 Hawais, Arteriosclerosis, 6: 422-433; 1936.



			į				Townson ?	を作品と			4.4
				Saloht	He Lohit			11	=	2	>
			(Managery)	3	2			2.7	22.7	220	222
-			*	8		6	3		4	CO	44
		3		*		25		0			444
			X.	2		0	2	64		*	
			S	8			1	V	170		163
			ç	3		79	ò			1	210
	atpdn 0001			64		116	8	27.2	•		*
			N	3		26		200	187		404
		40	26	2			3	210	761		38
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	5	~	727	971		240			220
	Ke Antes		8	Ş			9 9		196		190
		d		25		185			200	208	206
	Tankana a			4		106	8	279		40	100
	Total		4			182	196	210	2	1	
	STATE OF THE PARTY	Ş	*	110		36	106	188	206	3	
*	Table No.		\$	3		286	162	168	1		ð
*			**	3			***	286	*	9	À
*				99		236	707	9	9	140	7
	22.22		22	3		146	3	4	2	1	*
-	S. Change					166	140				1
-		S	3	4	54.	226	202	•	710	1	
	141 0		*	2 1		156	168		160		1
*			R			*	176		195	•	
8			25	99				1	00	1	•
6	3	4	3	8		S S	0		*	1	*
9	nates be					198	126	*	4		
						100	32		3		
1 8			22			i.	200		212	•	*
i :			2			Ş	233		236	8	
*			3								
2											

28 45 148 202 226 -
49 152 210 236 238
48 150 216 238 216
**
20
-
10
164 18
17
162 21
164 23
17
152 14
160 21
154 19
16
156 19
166 21
10
156 20
157 20
20
50 156 236 250 -
10

long term effect of feeding & reproducibility of cholesterol tolerance test.

1. 56 7. 6. 7. 7. 1.1.	•	-			(meg/g)				30 0	TOT SUR	(Tay 200)		
56 72 76 60 52 55 118.2 114.0 123.4 131.6 130.0			-	Section 1	777	1			Y			4 14	
52 56 50 50 141.8 153.6		2		26	3	S	8	110 0				4	
56 56 173,8 136,4 120,0 120,4 36 45 133,8 134,4 114,0 - - 45 45 120,8 115,0 100,0 100,0 - <		3		4	3	Ş				743.4	133.8	134.0	133,4
10 15 134.4 114.0 - <td< td=""><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>141.8</td><td>120.0</td><td>162.4</td><td>120.0</td><td>120.4</td><td>131.6</td></td<>		3				3	3	141.8	120.0	162.4	120.0	120.4	131.6
35 46 40 35 98.0 116.0 100.0 100.8 45 56 45 55 103.0 110.4 113.2 102.0 100.8 45 56 40 60 54 103.0 110.4 113.2 102.0 100.8 56 60 40 60 117.0 125.2 130.0 120.0 100.8 46 56 56 56 56 90.4 115.4 113.6 122.0 114.0 42 56 56 56 56 116.4 113.6 100.0 100.2 40 66 67 116.4 113.6 110.0 100.2 110.0 40 66 116.4 110.6 110.0 100.0 110.0 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td>123.0</td><td>134.4</td><td>114.0</td><td>•</td><td>1</td><td>2 44 6</td></td<>				3			3	123.0	134.4	114.0	•	1	2 44 6
56 45 47 - 50 128.8 119.4 130.6 -				\$	40		35	98	116.0	2	400		
45 58 56 58 103.0 110.4 113.2 102.0 100.8 44 56 60 40 60 117.0 125.2 135.0 106.5 - 40 56 60 40 64 117.0 125.2 135.0 - <td></td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td>Ş</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>120.0</td> <td>110 4</td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td>102.00</td>		26		Ş			3	120.0	110 4		9		102.00
44 56 60 40 60 106.0 132.8 132.0 126.5 102.0 100.8 102.0 100.0		45		*	S	8	75			2			120.0
58 60 66 117.0 122.8 122.0 126.5 48 56 66 117.0 125.2 135.0 - 58 66 66 117.0 125.2 132.0 - 46 56 66 116.4 133.6 110.0 107.2 114.0 42 56 56 56 116.4 120.8 133.6 106.0 109.2 46 46 116.4 120.8 133.6 106.0 109.2 46 43 - 46 164.8 184.0 183.6 54.0 60.0 46 43 - 46 164.8 184.0 183.6 54.0 60.0 46 43 - 46 164.8 184.0 183.6 54.0 60.0 46 44 76.8 106.0 136.0 136.0 54.0 60.0 46 46 164.0 130.0 136.0		3		S	4			200	710.4	113.2	102.0	100.8	98.0
48 56 50 46 56 117.0 125.2 139.0 -				3 %		•	3 ;	106.0	122.8	122.0	126.5		113.2
58 66 60 62 66 93.6 115.6 110.0 107.2 114.0 42 56 56 56 56 116.4 120.8 113.6 100.0 109.2 114.0 39 44 46 120.6 111.6 116.6 109.2 114.0 36 46 121.0 111.6 116.6 109.0 109.2 38 46 164.8 134.0 134.4 109.2 109.0 46 47 42 42.4 56.8 54.0 60.0 46 46.4 130.0 130.0 136.0 106.0 46 46.4 76.8 56.8 54.0 60.0 46 46.4 76.8 56.0 60.0 60.0 46 46.4 76.8 56.0 60.0 60.0 46 46.4 76.8 56.0 60.0 60.0 60.0 46 46 46.0		97		3 6		•	8	27.0	125.2	138.0		8	121.2
42 50 56 93.6 115.6 110.0 107.2 114.0 39 44 46 40 48 135.6 133.6 106.0 109.2 39 44 46 40 48 131.0 111.6 116.8 106.0 109.2 38 40 45 46 164.8 184.0 103.8 106.0 109.2 46 46 164.8 184.0 103.8 2.4.0 60.0 46 46 47.6 55.6 54.0 60.0 46 40 47.6 130.0 138.4 60.0 46 40 47.6 130.0 138.4 60.0 46 40 47.6 130.0 138.4 60.0 46 40 47.6 130.0 138.4 60.0 40 40 40 40.0 40.0 130.6 40.0 40 40 40 40.0 40.0<				2	3		8	106.2	119.4	133,6	122.0		104.00
39 46 116.4 120.8 113.6 106.0 109.2 36 46 133.0 111.6 115.8 124.4 106.0 109.2 36 46 164.0 111.6 115.8 124.4 104.4 109.0 46 45 76 164.0 163.8 106.0 106.0 46 46 76 84.8 42.4 85.6 54.0 60.0 46 46 76 86.8 42.4 85.6 54.0 60.0 46 46 76 130.0 130.4 106.0 130.4 46 46 76 100.4 130.5 130.4 106.4 130.5 46 46 46 76.8 100.4 130.5 130.5 130.6	*	2 :		3	3	3	3	93.6	115.6	110.0	107.2	114.0	105.
39 46 40 133.0 111.6 116.8 124.4 36 40 82.8 78.8 80.0 . 46 76 164.8 184.0 183.8 . 46 46 76.8 42.4 55.6 54.0 60.0 46 46 47.4 55.6 54.0 60.0 46 46 76.8 106.0 138.4 45 46 76.8 106.0 138.4 46 46 76.8 100.2 138.4 46 46 76.8 100.2 130.5 46 46 76.8 100.2 130.6 46 46 76.8 100.2 130.6 46 46 76.8 100.2 130.6 46 46 76.8 100.2 130.6 46 46 47.4 100.4 130.5 46 46 47.4 100.4 130.5 46 46 47.4 100.4 130.6 46		7		2	Z	8	\$	116.4	120.8	133.6	88	8	
36 44 46 164.0 194.0 190.0 36 46 164.0 194.0 193.8 . 46 46 164.0 194.0 193.8 . 46 46 76.8 42.4 55.6 54.0 60.0 46 46 76.8 76.8 106.0 . 138.4 46 46 46 76.8 101.2 92.4 106.0 46 46 46 47.4 100.4 138.4 106.0 46 46 46 46 46 46.0 90.0 . 46 46 46 46 46.0 90.0 . 106.4 . 46 46 46 46 46.0 94.0 . 96.0 . 56 56 56 56.0 66.0 . 96.0 . . 96.0 . . 56 56 56 56.0 <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>65</td> <td>133.0</td> <td>111.6</td> <td>116.8</td> <td>134 4</td> <td></td> <td></td>		2		3	8		65	133.0	111.6	116.8	134 4		
36 40 164.0 183.6 66 76 76 54.0 60.0 68 76 76.8 106.0 60.0 68 40 76.8 106.0 130.0 86 46 76.8 100.0 130.4 86 86 87.4 100.4 130.5 86 86 86.0 80.0 86 86 86.0 80.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86 86.0 86.0 86 86		*		\$			\$	00.20		8			4.67
66 76 76 56.8 42.4 55.6 54.0 60.0 45 46 47.4 76.8 106.0 138.4 45 46 47.4 101.2 992.4 46 46 47.4 100.4 130.5 46 46 46.0 46.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46.0 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46.0 46.0 90.0 90.0 46 46.0 46.0 90.0 90.0	44	36		2			9	181			•	•	0.25
## ## 106.0		3		2	2	53			2001	707			176.0
#6 #6		40			2 4		2	n.	42.4	55.6	54.0	0.03	80.08
130.0 130.0 130.4 100.4 130.6				•	3			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	76.8		106.0		,
25 45 101.2 97.4 100.4 130.5 105.4 101.2 992.4 130.5 100.4 130.5 100.4 130.5 100.4 130.5 100.4 130.5 100.4 130.5 100.4 130.5 100.4 130.5 1		3 :		•	*			144.0	130.0	*	138.4		
26 56 5. 56 5. 56 5. 60. 6 130.5 56 56 56 5. 56 5. 66.0 56 62 56.0 56 62 56.0 56 62 56.0 56 62 56.0 57.4 100.4 120.8 103.2 130.6 107.6 134.8		9			\$			4.58	101.2		802.4	•	
\$6 56 - 54 103.2 \$6 46 + 52 - 120.4 103.2 \$6 6.0 \$6 6.0 \$6 6.0 \$6 6.0 \$6 6.0 \$6 6.0 \$6 6.0 \$6 6.0		2			X			*:6	8	4	130 6	i, i	•
56 66 95.6 56 66 95.6 56 66 95.6 57 66 95.6 58 66 95.6 58 66 95.6 58 66 95.6 58 66 95.6 58 66 95.6 58 66 95.6		0			7		*		101.9		***	•	•
56 62 . 60		3	47	•	0	(1	* ***)	***		•
50 60 - 52 - 134.0 107.6 - 134.8 - 133.0 139.6 - 128.0 -)	•	0.00	0.40		90.0	•	
56 60 - 68 - 133,0 139,6 - 128,0 -		8 :	led a		8	•			25.6		6.6.0	•	
36 60 - 68 - 133.0 139.6 - 128.0 -		2	700 T					24.0	107.6	•	134.0		
		*	Trans.		8		•	133.0	139,6		128.0		! !

	A		\$	•		9	1		1		9 (1 (1 1		1			1) 1	1 () 1	f
1	•	•	•	1		*		•	1	5 1								•	•	•				
0.88	4.72	7 000	P. 0044	0.074		7000	0.761	0 0 0 0	2000	0.00	70.0		3	97.77		00.00	124.0	0.99	0.5	33.2		6 79	142.8	
																					7 -			
																							130.4	
88	2						106.4			125.0	6	183	132.4	124.0		0.78	111.4	8.0	12.0	104.0	125.6	142,0	114,0	
	•		•													,						•	•	
•	8										4					*								
8	\$	36	8	62	8	•	3	3	\$	3	3	8	20	8		a	3	8	28	3	2	8	3	
•	•					, j	3	99	22	3	8	3	2	8	8	2			2	3	8	8		
8	\$	8	\$	8	3	3	\$	2	3	8	S	S	8	3	R	3	3	3	2	8	R	3	3	
8	*	8	8	3	3	05	9	3	2	2	3	*	9	8	8	8	2	9	\$	X	8	2	8	
25.	26.	2	2	8	30.	31.	60	33.	34.		36.	Ė	30:	ć	40.	#:	42.	:	**	Ş	\$:	\$	

1. 164 170 V Table 1 170	*		A TOTAL	ricitycer.	11.30	(mg/d1		The second secon				and the state of t	
156 170 186 156 170 188 32.3 34.0 37.6 31.2 34.0 37.6 31.5 34.0 37.6 31.5			-		III	2	>				7 D/Day		
156 160 166 160 158 152 31,2 37,6 37,6 31,5		164	170	130	168	130			***************************************	7 7			
196 193 190 159 152 31,2 32,0 33,6 32,0 31,6 196 193 190 -		42.	4				0	27.00	34.0	37.6	33,2	36.0	100
150 163 190 192 39.2 37.6 38.0 150 150 150 26.0 28.4 30.0 29.2 150 156 176 150 150 150 28.0 29.6 30.8 30.0 29.2 150 156 156 156 156 156 26.0 27.2 28.0 27.5 29.2 170 184 180 174 34.0 34.6 32.4 30.0 29.2 170 184 180 174 34.0 34.6 32.4 30.0 174 150 150 150 156 150 1		7		201	200	100	23	31.2	32.0	33,6	32.0	-	30.4
130 142 150 146			60 60	180			192	39.2	37.6	0.00			
166 178 172 — 170 33.2 35.6 36.4 25.6 180 184 150 146 150 28.0 29.6 30.3 30.0 29.2 170 186 180 — 174 26.0 27.2 28.0 27.5 29.2 170 184 180 — 174 36.0 37.2 30.0 27.5 29.2 172 186 180 186 180 186 36.0 37.5 32.6 32.3 32.0 27.5 186 186 186 186 186 186 36.0 37.2 38.0 37.2 37.6 26.0 186 196 186 180 37.2 38.0 39.2 40.0 26.0 186 196 180 180 37.2 37.6 26.0 27.6 26.0 27.6 186 180 180 180 180 180		130	142	150	146		0.54	26.0	28.4			Ř	20
140 148 154 150 146 150 29.0 29.6 30.8 30.0 29.2 130 136 146 150 150 29.0 27.2 28.0 27.5 170 186 180 136 162 150 30.0 37.6 36.0 27.7 28.0 27.5 172 186 180 160 160 160 160 34.4 33.6 32.0 37.3 37.0 186 186 180 180 180 170 35.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 27.8 186 196 - 170 35.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 27.6 186 - 170 35.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 27.6 186 - 130 130 13.6 27.6 28.4 26.0 27.6 188 148 - 148 - 27.6 28.2		166	178	172		•	170				7 * 6 7	*	73.0
136 136 136 29.0 29.6 30.8 30.0 29.2 170 186 180 174 34.0 26.6 36.0 27.2 26.0 27.2 26.0 27.2 27.2 27.3		140	140	2	4	*	. 4	4 7	23.0	26.4		1	34.0
170 184 180 134 26.0 27.2 28.0 27.5 154 159 174 34.0 36.8 36.0 - 154 159 160 166 160 169 34.4 33.6 32.4 30.0 172 163 160 164 160 169 34.4 33.6 32.6 32.9 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>997</td> <td>150</td> <td>28.0</td> <td>29.6</td> <td>30.8</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>29.2</td> <td>30.0</td>						997	150	28.0	29.6	30.8	0.00	29.2	30.0
170 184 180 174 34.0 36.8 36.9 36.0 154 159 162 150 150 30.6 31.6 32.4 30.0 172 168 160 164 160 169 169 34.4 33.6 32.4 30.0 118 125 130 134 129 23.0 30.4 31.2 30.0 26.0 26.0 166 196 - 190 37.2 39.2 40.0 26.0 26.0 170 196 - 170 35.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 26.0 181 126 - 170 35.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 26.0 181 126 - 170 35.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 26.0 182 13 13 13 13 13 27.6 28.5 27.6 28.5 27.6 28.5		200	0	3	2	*	\$3¢	26.0	27,2	28.0	27.5		26
154 156 150 30.6 31.6 32.4 30.0 32.9 32.0 32		770	Ö	8			174	34.0	90	36.0		1	
172 168 160 164 160 168 34.4 33.6 32.9 30.0 32.9 32.0 32.9 32.0 32.9 32.0<		134	887	162	150		C	C				2	
118 126 132 134 1356 32.3 32.9 <td< td=""><td></td><td>172</td><td>691</td><td>180</td><td>**</td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>0 1</td><td>37.6</td><td>0.0</td><td>\$</td><td>30.0</td></td<>		172	691	180	**			5	0 1	37.6	0.0	\$	30.0
150 152 154 129 23.6 25.2 26.4 26.0 25.8 160 152 156 156 156 156 26.0						204	0		33.0	32.0	32,3	32.0	100 mg
160 152 156 158 162 32.0 30.4 31.2 31.6 166 196 200 190 37.2 39.2 40.0 176 190 196 170 35.2 27.6 26.4 26.0 126 130 130 136 25.2 27.6 26.0 26.0 170 160 168 25.6 26.9 27.6 27.5 136 142 25.6 26.9 27.5 155 144 148 27.6 29.6 156 27.6 26.9 26.9 156 27.6 27.6 27.6 156 27.6 27.6 27.5 156 27.6 27.6 27.5 157 27.6 27.6 27.6 156 27.6 27.6 27.6 156 27.6 27.6 27.6 156 27.6 27.6 27.6 156 27.6 27.6 27.6 157 27.6 27.6 27.6 160 27.6 27.6 27.6 160 27.6 27.6 27.0 160 27.6	•	077	9	7	20	134	200	23,6	25.2	26,4	26.0	26.0	25
186 196 200 - 190 37.2 39.2 40.0 176 190 170 35.2 39.2 40.0 - 126 130 130 136 25.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 170 160 - 168 - 25.6 25.2 22.0 126 134 - 168 - 25.6 26.9 27.5 139 - 142 - 25.6 26.9 27.5 155 144 - 142 - 27.6 29.5 28.5 155 149 - 27.6 29.6 - 27.5 156 120 - 27.6 26.0 - 26.0 156 122 - 27.6 26.0 - 26.0 150 152 - 26.0 - 26.0 - 150 152 - 26.0 - 26.0 - 150 152 26.0 - 26.0 -		160	452	26	158		162	32.0	30.4	31.2	3		0 0
176 190 196 - 170 35.2 38.0 39.2 126 136 130 136 25.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 26.0 170 160 - 168 - 23.6 25.2 27.6 28.5 170 160 - 168 - 25.6 26.9 27.5 136 142 - 142 - 27.6 29.6 28.5 156 144 - 148 - 27.6 26.9 26.0 156 144 - 148 - 27.6 26.9 26.0 156 122 120 - 23.2 24.0 26.0 160 192 200 32.4 24.0 180 192 200 32.4 40.0		186	196	200		1	190	37.2	39.2	40.0		1	* 6
126 136 130 136 25.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 26.0 170 160 168 23.6 25.2 27.6 22.0 170 160 168 25.6 26.9 27.5 138 148 25.6 26.9 27.5 156 148 27.6 29.6 28.5 156 148 27.6 26.0 26.0 170 130 27.6 26.0 26.0 170 156 25.2 24.4 26.0 170 162 26.0 26.0 26.0 170 156 20.6 26.0 26.0 180 192 200 32.4 40.0		176	190	196	•	,	170			9		ş	2
136 126 110 23.6 25.2 27.6 28.4 26.0 26.0 170 160 168 23.6 25.2 25.2 22.0 136 136 25.6 26.8 27.5 136 142 27.6 29.6 28.5 156 144 148 27.6 29.6 20.6 136 130 130 27.6 26.0 26.0 116 127 27.6 26.0 26.0 170 162 26.0 26.0 26.0 180 192 200 32.4 24.0 180 192 200 32.4 40.0		726		143			1 4			2000	9	1	0
170 160 - 168 - 23.6 25.2 - 22.0 128 134 - 158 - 27.5 - 27.5 138 148 - 25.6 26.9 - 27.5 156 144 - 148 - 29.5 130 130 - 27.6 26.0 - 26.0 170 152 25.2 24.5 - 26.0 180 192 200 - 35.0 32.4 40.0				*	2	25	200	25.2	27.6	28.4	26.0	26.0	27.2
170 160 168 34.0 32.0 33.6 128 134 138 25.6 26.9 27.5 135 148 27.6 29.6 28.5 155 148 31.2 28.5 26.0 130 130 27.6 26.0 26.0 116 122 27.6 26.0 26.0 170 156 28.2 24.5 24.0 180 192 200 38.4 40.0		0		,	110			23.6	25.2	9	22.0	1	
136 148 25.6 26.8 27.5 156 148 27.6 29.6 28.5 156 144 148 28.3 29.6 136 130 130 27.6 26.0 176 122 28.2 24.4 26.0 170 162 156 26.0 26.0 190 192 200 32.4 40.0		110	160		100	4	4	34.0	32.0	1	33.6		1
136 148 - 27.6 29.6 - 28.5 156 144 - 148 - 29.6 - 29.6 136 130 - 130 - 26.0 116 122 - 24.5 26.0 170 162 156 - 24.5 26.0 180 192 200 38.4 40.0		20	134	,	138		ż	50	26.9		27.50		•
156 144 - 148 - 29.6 138 130 - 130 - 27.6 26.0 116 122 120 - 23.2 24.4 24.0 170 162 - 156 - 34.0 32.4 40.0		20	00	ŧ	142			27.6	24.6	•	9 14	•	ŧ
136 130 - 130 - 25.0 26.0 26.0 116 122 120 - 23.2 24.5 26.0 170 162 - 156 - 34.0 32.4 40.0		156	144	*	0	*		-	0 0		0 000	1	1
116 122 - 120 - 23.2 24.5 - 24.0 170 162 - 156 - 34.0 32.4 - 40.0		(0)	130		4	1	1			i	0 0	4	1
170 162 - 156 - 34.0 32.4 - 24.0 - 34.0 32.4 - 40.0 - 40.0		***					*	71.0	****		26.0	\$	*
180 192 - 200 - 36.0 38.4 - 40.0		0 1 1	791	ŧ		*	1	23.2	24.4	3	24.0	•	1
180 192 - 200 36.0 38.4 - 40.0		2 :	3		256		1	34.0	***		31.2		8
		3	735		200			38.0	38.4		40.0		•

*8.		0	***	44.	۵	S	-	40.	39.	in co	37.	6	CA CA	64	643 643 8	32.	ini po	30.	29.	26.	27.	200	~
200	50	500	160	130	156	600	190	100	180	138	150	120	190	5	158	178	S	136	156	196	210	77	
	LAI CA	163	176	196	170	196	206	196	190	173	59	132	132	174	150	194	150	50	164	173	196	0	162
*	142	172	178	162	•		200	192	136	172	160	126	176	170	154	176	*	1	t	1		•	
156	130	166	172	190	160	200	198	184	192	170	154	130	190	170	150	182	170	140	166	180	200	186	160
*	1	4	1	1	3	\$	1	1	4	1		1		1	8	1	1	1	8	4	\$		
28.0	30.0	30.4	32.0	36.0	الم الم الم	37.6	30.0	38.0	36.0	37.6	30.0	24.0	38.0	32.6	31.6	35.6	32.4	27.2	31.2	39.2	42.0	35.2	31.6
31.6	27,5	33.6	35.2	39.2	34.0	39.2	41.2	39.2	38.0	35.6	33.6	26.4	36.4	34.8	30.0	36.8	33.6	31.2	32.9	35.6	39.2	38.0	32.4
1	20.4	34.5	35.6	36.4		1	0.00	33.	37.2	4	32.0	25.2	35.2	34.0	30.8	35.2			1	1			1
31.2	26.0	33.2	34.4	38.0	32.0	40.0	39.6	36.8	38.4	34.0	30.8	26.0	36.0	34.0	30.0	36.4	34.0	28.0	33.2	36.0	61.6	37.2	32.0
1				1										•	•	•	6	•	•	,			

				Interval					
	Ť.			U					
the T					Serum Total Chologophy	F	(mg/dl)		
* .	3	9	7	246	250	**	230	2	
100			2	220	977	346		30%	
						Limber.	Limborotain (max		9
		2	2	0	\$	8	40	1	
•		*	R	*	2	2	%		\$ \$
4						117/mm) 8			
*		2	707		8	181		100	
		208	200	204	3	8	38		
- 1							Line of the Care A		9
		9.5	178.0	170.8	172.0		153.6	151.0	687
*			8	0 105.2	92.4	128.4	144.0	126.4	132.8
X	*					low Density Hooprotein		(mg/dl)	
is				2 27.2	0.02	37.2		39.2	36.0
. 1				800	2.2	41.6	40.0	35.6	37.2
				we lang.	**	100 mm	Tpresdis.	Values,	
								A Times	